



NEPCon OÜ Evaluation of AO Belozersky Lespromkhoz Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
2.1	Description of the company
2.2	Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system
3	Specific objective
4	Evaluation process
4.1	Timing of evaluation activities
4.2	Description of evaluation activities
4.3	Sampling methodology
4.4	CB stakeholder engagement
4.5	Stakeholder feedback
5	Results
5.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
5.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
5.3	Collection and communication of data
5.4	Competency of involved personnel
6	Review of company's risk assessments
6.1	Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures
6.2	Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures
7	Non-conformities and observations
8	Certification decision

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	NEPCon OÜ
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus
Primary CB contact email:	otarabus@preferredbynature.org
Audit team leader:	Nikolai Tochilov
Audit team members:	-
Name of the Company:	AO Belozersky Lespromkhoz
Company legal address:	48, bld. 4 Radischeva street, 161200 Belozersk, Vologda region, Russia
Company contact for SBP:	Daria Kabanova
Company contact email:	kabanova@cherles.ru
Company website:	N/A
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-07-62
Date of certificate issue:	09 Mar 2020
Date of certificate expiry:	08 Mar 2025
Audit closing meeting date:	26 Feb 2021
Audit cycle:	First Surveillance Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope	Change in scope (N/A for Assessments)
Primary Activity:	Biomass Producer	☐
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction; Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.3	☐
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE):	No	☐
Includes communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data (DBSD)	Yes	☐
Includes Group Scheme	No	☐
Products	Pellets	☐

Feedstock types:	Secondary	☐
Feedstock origin (countries):	Russia	☐
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Public link: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	Not applicable	☐
Chain of custody system implemented:	FSC: GFA-COC-001259	☐
	Transfer	☐

2.1 Description of the company

Organisation is a primary (sawmilling) and secondary (pellet production) manufacturer located in Nizhnyaya Mondoma settlement, Belozerskiy district, Vologda region, Russia. Total annual production capacity of pellet plant is 38 000 tones. Only secondary feedstock with FSC 100% claim is used for FSC/SBP certified pellet production (sawdust) and heating (mixture of wood chips and barks). FSC-certified secondary feedstock is supplied from Organisation's sawmill located at the same production site. FSC transfer system of claims is implemented for pellet production (all pellets have FSC 100% claim). The final product may be transported by different means of transport to different end points in Russia, on different Incoterms delivery conditions.

2.2 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system

Organisation is included as a site into the scope of the multi-site FSC CoC certificate <https://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a0240000005sR4aAAE&type=certificate> which is held by AO LHK Cherepovetsles (mother company). Only secondary feedstock (sawdust) with FSC 100% claim is used for pellet production and FSC transfer system of claims is implemented (all pellets have FSC 100% claim). Non-certified feedstock is not processed, neither used for heating.

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of the production processes, production site visit;
- Review of FSC system control points, analysis of the existing FSC CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis and assessment of compliance with ID 5E ver. 1.3.

4 Evaluation process

4.1 Timing of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Nikolai Tochilov	1,0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Nikolai Tochilov	12,0
3. Report writing	Nikolai Tochilov	4,0
4. Other	N/A	N/A

Audit Schedule			
Activity	Location	Auditor name	Date/time
<i>Opening meeting; H&S instruction to auditor</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/09:15
<i>H&S training to auditor; documents review regarding H&S; evaluation of feedstock input groups and Supply Base Report</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/09:30

<i>Evaluation of critical control points in FSC CoC</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/11:00
<i>Evaluation of management and monitoring system system; compliance with legal requirements; anti-corruption policy; H&S</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/12:00
<i>Energy use data review (including SAR)</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/13:00
<i>Production facilities inspection</i>	Pellet plant	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/15:00
<i>Energy use data (including SAR) review</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/15.45
<i>End of the day</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	25 Feb 2021/17.00
<i>Evaluation of anticorruption policy, payment of taxes, due diligence system</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	26 Feb 2021/10:00
<i>Energy use data (including SAR) review</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	26 Feb 2021/11:00
<i>Closing meeting</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	26 Feb 2021/14:45
<i>End of evaluation</i>	BP office	Nikolai Tochilov	26 Feb 2021/15:00

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Nikolai Tochilov	Audit team leader	NEPCon SBP lead auditor. He has successfully passed SBP auditor training in Tallinn in January 2015; previous experience with more than 40 SBP assessments and annual audits in Russia, Europe and Asia.

4.2 Description of evaluation activities

The evaluation visit was focused on management system evaluation: division of the responsibilities, document and system, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing FSC system and FSC system control points as well as GHG data availability.

All SBP related documentation connected to the SBP as well as FSC CoC system of the organisation, including SBP Procedure, SAR and GHG data calculations, Supply Base Report and FSC system description was provided by the company at the beginning of the audit, which started with an opening meeting attended by the representatives from Organisation's management and staff.

During the opening meeting, audit team leader introduced himself, provided information about audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, and assessment methodology and clarified certification scope. During the opening meeting the auditor explained CB's accreditation related issues.

After that auditor went through all applicable requirements of the SBP standards nr. 2, 4, 5 and instruction document 5E covering input clarification, existing chain of custody system implementation was reviewed focusing in the Critical Control Points, in particular it was verified reception of the material and it's classification, identification of feedstock origin, production process with the conversion factors associated, mass balance, final product storage and sales, emission and energy data and categorisation of input and verification of SBP-compliant biomass. During the process, overall responsible person for SBP system and other staff were interviewed.

After a roundtrip around BP's pellet production was undertaken. During the site tour, applicable records were reviewed, staff was interviewed and FSC system critical control points were analysed.

At the end of the audit, findings were summarised and audit conclusions based on use of 3 angle evaluation method were provided to the management and SBP responsible person.

Impartiality commitment: NEPCon commits to using impartial auditors and our clients are encouraged to inform NEPCon management if violations of this are noted. Please see our Impartiality Policy here: <https://preferredbynature.org/impartiality-policy>

4.3 Sampling methodology

Staff interviews: the key staff involved in SBP certification was interviewed during the audit. Onsite

inspection: during onsite inspection, auditor went through the whole production, starting with feedstock delivery to the pellet plant and ending with the storage of the biomass and its shipping to customers.

Documents review: auditor has verified all records related to feedstock input and biomass output; moisture measurements; electricity and diesel consumption. Special attention was paid to justification of conversion factor for pellet production, established by Organisation annually.

4.4 CB stakeholder engagement

Stakeholder consultations are n/a for this annual audit.

4.5 Stakeholder feedback

No stakeholder feedback received prior to, during, and after the audit.

5 Results

5.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Strengths: use of the FSC transfer system; only FSC 100% secondary feedstock is sourced; non-certified feedstock is not accepted. Effective recordkeeping system. Small number of the management staff and clearly designated responsibilities within the staff members.

Weaknesses: no weaknesses identified during this audit.

5.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

n/a

5.3 Collection and communication of data

All steps where energy use occurs are included in the management system. The following energy sources are used by BP: electricity for pellet production; biofuel for drying the feedstock; diesel for feedstock delivery and handling; diesel for biomass handling, shipping and transportation to customer. Electricity and feedstock consumption values are based on actual consumption results. Diesel consumption value is based on combination of actual consumption data and engineering calculations.

5.4 Competency of involved personnel

Interviewed staff was well familiar with their responsibilities. Generally, technic-technologist takes responsibility for implementation of almost all requirement related to SBP certification. The rest staff members involved to SBP certification are: power engineer (collection of data on electricity consumption), chief of sales department (information on new routes and delivery distances for shipped biomass) and deputy director on wood processing (H&S responsible).

6 Review of company's risk assessments

6.1 Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures

n/a

6.2 Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures

Country/Area	Indicator	Specified risk description	Mitigation measure
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

7 **Non-conformities and observations**

N/A

8 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Pilar Gorria
Date of decision:	23 Mar 2021
Other comments:	N/A