



Supply Base Report: **Euroindex, Joint limited liability company**

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

Contents

1	Overview
2	Description of the Supply Base
2.1	General description
2.2	Description of countries included in the Supply Base
2.3	Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
2.4	Quantification of the Supply Base
3	Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation
4	Supply Base Evaluation
4.1	Scope
4.2	Justification
4.3	Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
4.4	Conclusion
5	Supply Base Evaluation process
6	Stakeholder consultation
6.1	Response to stakeholder comments
7	Mitigation measures
7.1	Mitigation measures
7.2	Monitoring and outcomes
8	Detailed findings for indicators
9	Review of report
9.1	Peer review
9.2	Public or additional reviews
10	Approval of report
Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators	

1 Overview

Producer name: Eurolindex, Joint limited liability company

Producer address: letter A1 / P (building of OJSC, 223311 Krasnopolye village, Belarus

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-07-89

Geographic position: 53.838488, 28.961240

Primary contact: Yuri Zavadsky, + 375 29 629 00 41, evrolindeks@mail.ru

Company website: N/A

Date report finalised: 09 Mar 2021

Close of last CB audit: 19 Mar 2021

Name of CB: NEPCon OÜ

SBP Standard(s) used: SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction, Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.3

Weblink to Standard(s) used: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: Not applicable

Weblink to SBR on Company website: <https://www.facebook.com/groups/225861798819826/>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations					
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re-assessment
☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Secondary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Belarus

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Belarus

Area/Region: Belarus

Exclusions: No

The timber supply base for the pellets production processes is located in the Republic of Belarus.

During the reporting period, the company produced wood pellets mostly from SBP- compliant secondary feedstock – sawdust (wood processing industry waste). In the production process, for drying, the company also uses sawdust (wood processing industry waste).

SBP-compliant secondary feedstock – 80% (sawdust - wood processing industry waste)

SBP-non compliant secondary feedstock – 20% (sawdust - wood processing industry waste)

The factory has approximately 15 feedstock suppliers.

The wood species - Picea abies; Pinus sylvestris.

Belarus Forest Resources

Source (<https://www.mlh.by/en/our-main-activities/forestry/forests/>)

In the Republic of Belarus forests are one of the main renewable natural resources and the major national wealth. The woods and forest resources are of great importance for sustainable social and economic development of the country, ensuring its economic, energy, ecological and food security. For a number of the key indicators characterizing forest fund (woodiness of the territory, the area of the woods and stock of growing wood in terms of per capita), Belarus is among the top ten forest states of Europe.

Forests in the Republic of Belarus are the exclusive property of the State, which means that all produced timber originates from state managed forests. The Forest Code (Forest Code of the Republic of Belarus of 2015 No. 332-Z) states that Belarusian forests are divided into 4 categories according to the management purpose: conservation forests, recreation and health forests, protective forests, and managed forests.

Harvesting of timber is allowed depending on the management and protection regime assigned based on the forest category.

Forestry of Belarus, successfully implementing the principles of sustainable multipurpose forest management, is important for stable functioning of the forest sector of the country and contributes to the development of allied industries of economy, making a significant contribution to the implementation of the signed international treaties at the global level in the field of environmental protection. Its economic, environmental and social role has been steadily increasing. All this gives grounds to say that in modern conditions the forestry sector from traditional commodity industry turns into infrastructural and one of the key sectors of the national economic complex, especially in the rural areas of the country.

As a result of purposeful work of foresters since 1994, the main quantitative and qualitative indicators of forests have improved. The forest cover of the republic is close to optimum and amounts to 40.1 %. During the last 60 years forest cover has almost doubled and has reached its maximum value for more than a hundred years (1901 - 37.6 %) and continues to increase.

Belarus has been a signatory of the CITES Convention since 1995. CITES requirements are respected in forest management, although there are no species included in the CITES lists in Belarus.

When harvesting wood, according to the forest legislation of the Republic of Belarus, individual species listed in the Red Book and their habitats are subject to conservation. Cutting of valuable, endangered and protected tree species is prohibited.

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

In production of SBP pellets only FSC certified supplier material is used. Since company's policy is to give preference to certified suppliers, the company constantly invites other non-certified suppliers to participate in FSC/PEFC certification.

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. **Total Supply Base area (million ha):** 9,70
- b. **Tenure by type (million ha):**9.70 (Public)
- c. **Forest by type (million ha):**9.07 (Temperate)
- d. **Forest by management type (million ha):**9.70 (Natural)
- e. **Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**9.03 (FSC), 8.80 (PEFC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: N/A

Explanation: N/A

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? N/A

Explanation: N/A

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? N/A

Explanation: N/A

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? N/A

Explanation: N/A

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jan 2020

Reporting period to: 31 Dec 2020

- a. Total volume of Feedstock:** 1-200,000 m3
- b. Volume of primary feedstock:** 0 N/A
- c. List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**
 - Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:** N/A
- e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species? N/A**
 - Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):** N/A
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:** N/A
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%):** N/A
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:** N/A N/A
- l. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:**
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock:** 1-200,000 m3
 - Physical form of the feedstock: Sawdust
- n. Volume of tertiary feedstock:** 0 N/A
 - Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period

Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Secondary	0,00	100,00	0,00	0,00
Tertiary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Primary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Other	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Not applicable

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

N/A

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

N/A

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

N/A

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

N/A

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

N/A

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

N/A

10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	Jurij Pavlovich Zavadskij	Director	09 Mar 2021
	Name	Title	Date
Report Prepared by:	Bogach Liudmila V.	Master of production	09 Mar 2021
	Name	Title	Date
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.			
Report approved by:	Jurij Pavlovich Zavadskij	Director	09 Mar 2021
	Name	Title	Date

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A