Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

SCS Global Services
Evaluation of Stockholm Exergi AB

First Surveillance Audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.1 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see **www.sbp-cert.org**

Document history

Version 2.0	**Published 10 August 2023**
Version 2.1	Published 21 May 2025

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025

Table of contents

1 Overview **3**

2 Audit conclusions **4**

2.1 Certification decision 4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations 4
2.2 Closed non-conformities 5
2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation 6
2.4 Notes for the next Audit 6

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate **7**

3.1 Description of the company 8
3.2 Site details 8
3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups 8

4 Specific objective of the audit **9**

5 Evaluation process **10**

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities 10
5.2 Auditor team qualification 10
5.3 Description of evaluation activities 10
5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited 11
5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used 11
5.6 CB stakeholder engagement 11
5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response 11
5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations 11
5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation 11
5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED 11
5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4 12
5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5 12
5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel 12
5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable) 12

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable) **13**

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope 13
6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures 13

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	SCS Global Services
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Maggie Schwartz
Primary CB contact email:	mschwartz@scsglobalservices.com
Audit team leader:	David Mijoc
Audit team members:	N/A
Name of the Company:	Stockholm Exergi AB
Company legal address:	Jägmästargatan 2, 11577 Stockholm, Sweden
Company contact for SBP:	Ronnie Andersson
Company contact email:	ronnie.andersson@stockholmexergi.se
Company website:	https://www.stockholmexergi.se/
Date of installation:	
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-12-15
Date of certificate issue:	25 Nov 2025
Date of certificate expiry:	24 Nov 2030
Audit closing meeting date:	24 Sep 2026
Audit cycle:	First Surveillance Audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Kresimir Colic
Date of decision:	20 Oct 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

2.2 Closed non-conformities

NC number 9815	NC Grading: Observation
Standard:	SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0
Requirement:	1.1 There shall be demonstrated and documented commitment from senior management for maintaining certification and meeting all applicable requirements. The commitment of the Organisation shall be made available to its personnel, suppliers and customers, and to other stakeholders upon request. N/A N/A
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
Observation – ST4 1.1: Senior Management Commitment Senior management commitment to sustainability, legality, chain of custody integrity, and compliance with SBP/RED requirements is clearly embedded in Stockholm Exergi's governance system. This is evidenced through the Code of Conduct, "Intressenter och bindande krav" document, the HBL sustainability control system, and the SBP/RED III routine, all of which are approved at management level and accessible to relevant personnel. Interviews confirmed strong management motivation of sustainability processes. However, no standalone SBP-specific commitment statement or policy has been issued that explicitly refers to maintaining SBP Chain of Custody certification and its requirements. Commitment exists in practice and across several documents, but it is not consolidated into a single document that can be made available to personnel, suppliers, customers, or stakeholders upon request. This does not currently impair system function, but Stockholm Exergi AB is encouraged to prepare a dedicated SBP CoC commitment statement to fully meet the prescriptive element of ST4 clause 1.1. On audit 24 Sep 2026, an evidence is provided. Senior management's commitment to maintaining certification is publicly available on the organization's website in the document "Års- och hållbarhetsredovisning för 2025", page 94. The commitment is clear and available to personnel, suppliers, customers and other stakeholders, and clearly refers to the organization's commitment to SBP. 3 persons interviewed. The observation can be closed.	
Timeline for Conformance:	N/A
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	N/A
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	N/A
NC Status:	Closed
Original Due Date:	N/A
Closing Date:	2026-10-20
Upgrade Date:	N/A
Update Date:	N/A

NC number 9816	NC Grading: Observation
Standard:	SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0
Requirement:	1.15 The Organisation shall implement a documented complaint procedure, which is available upon request and part of its SEP. The procedure shall clarify that the complaints must be applicable to the scope of the Organisation's certificate, and include at least the following: – the person(s) or position(s) responsible for managing complaints; – a timeline for confirming receipt, not to exceed 10 calendar

	days; – provision for reviewing the complaint, determining the appropriate actions and responding to the complainant; and – provision for monitoring the effectiveness of actions taken. N/A N/A
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:	
The complaint procedure is managed through customer service and the organization's website, as described in section 13.5.1 on page 38 of the SBP procedures. The website provides the option "synpunkter och klagomål" ("suggestions and complaints"), which leads to instructions for submitting complaints. The page states "Ge oss dina synpunkter eller ditt klagomål i formuläret nedan" ("Please use the form below to send us your suggestions or complaints"), but the referenced form was observed to be missing from the webpage. Customer service can still be contacted by email or telephone, so this cannot be considered as Non conformity, rather an observation. On 26th of October the organization provided evidence: www.stockholmexergi.se/kundservice/synpunkter-och-klagomal/ Complaint box is now functional and fully operational.	
Timeline for Conformance:	N/A
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	N/A
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	N/A
NC Status:	Closed
Original Due Date:	N/A
Closing Date:	2026-10-20
Upgrade Date:	N/A
Update Date:	N/A

2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

Thorough audit documentation provided to auditor prior to audit. Clear scope explanation and details provided. Two OBS raised during the audit and communicated at the closing meeting.

2.4 Notes for the next Audit

Power Plant "Brista" should be visited. Visit of one larger outsourcer that storage SBP material is recommended.

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate

Scope item	Check all that apply to the Certificate scope
Primary activity	End User
Secondary activity	Trader
Approved Standards	SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0; Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 v2.0
Product Group IDs	☒ Forest feedstock (1A) ☒ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Urban and landscape feedstock (2A) ☒ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Agricultural land feedstock (3A) ☒ Processing residues feedstock (4A) ☐ Post-consumer feedstock (5A) ☐ Other (please specify)
SBP Feedstock types	☒ SBP Compliant; ☒ SBP Controlled
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Includes EU RED Scheme	Yes
Includes EU RED Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Products	WB 2.1 Wood chips, WB 1.1 Wood pellets, WB 4.1 Roundwood, WB 2.2 Sawdust, WB 2.4 Other residues from wood processing
Feedstock origin (countries):	Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Finland, Spain, Poland, Sweden, Italy
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: **Public link:** https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	Not applicable
Chain of custody system	☒ Physical separation ☐ Mass balance
Outsourcing	Yes
Changes in the scope	No changes in the scope. Wording “The scope does not include the communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data (DBSD)” shall be removed from the scope description on SCS certificate because it is outdated wording from previous standard version.

3.1 Description of the company

Guidance: Please describe the type, structure and operations of the company including its role in the supply chain, its inputs and outputs as they related to SBP Standards.

Stockholm Exergi AB is an end-user and secondary activity is trader (occasionally if needed). It purchase SBP-compliant biomass (wood chips and pellets) from different suppliers, mainly from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Sweden. Materials are purchased and delivered by vessels with various capacities (4000-9000 tonnes) and transported to harbours near the power plants. The stock of the power plants are usually small (lasting around 4-5 days), therefore the vessels are carefully planned and input effectively monitored and followed. In case there are incoming ships that are not needed, then these might be re-sold to other consumers (this is the trading activity). Biomass is also delivered by trains and trucks (biomass from Sweden). There are currently three power plants that actively consume biomass (Värtaverket - the largest, Hässelbyverket and Bristaverket). There is also one in Orminge but they don't use SBP biomass but intention exists. There are also four outsourcers that storage or could storage SBP material.

3.2 Site details

Legal entity name	Address	Site Activity	Visited during the audit
Stockholm Exergi AB	Jägmästargatan 2, 11577, Stockholm, Sweden	End user and trader	☒

3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups

Guidance: Please describe the operation of the CoC system including details of the mass balance management and a list of established Product Groups.

Stockholm Exergi operates an SBP Chain of Custody system based on physical segregation, ensuring that SBP-certified biomass remains fully separated from non-SBP material throughout receipt, storage and internal handling. All incoming SBP deliveries are verified through sustainability declarations, supplier documentation, and DTS transactions, after which the certified biomass is registered in the internal HBL-based traceability system. (HBL stands for "Hållbarhetslagen" i.e. Sustainability Law, a specific Swedish law number (2010:598)). Because Stockholm Exergi does not mix, process, or transform biomass, a mass balance system is not used; instead, certified and non-certified material streams are kept distinct at all terminals and plants. SBP-certified biomass is handled under also by outsourcers where they storage it or have possibility (intention) to storage it. In this audit (2026) a large facility (Värtaverket) was visited. This facility consumes wood chips mainly from primary production in forests. The chips is delivered by vessels or by trucks and trains (biomass from Sweden). The harbor attached to the facility has capacity of unloading two ships at once. Unloading happens on two conveyor belts and the material is further transported to underground warehouse of capacity around 16 000 tonnes, which is enough for about 4 days of heating if is very cold outside. So delivery during the winter must be constant and very well planned and organized. The organisation maintains three SBP Product Groups: WB 1.1 – Wood Pellets, WB 2.1 – Wood Chips, and WB 4.1 Roundwood (low grade wood), all received exclusively from SBP-certified Producers/Traders and all controlled in the same way as Värtaverket facility. For each product group, Stockholm Exergi verifies feedstock category, SBP claim type (SBP-compliant or SBP-controlled), and RED III eligibility.

4 Specific objective of the audit

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that Stockholm Exergi's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards 4 and 5 + RED III are implemented across the entire scope of certification

5 Evaluation process

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	**Auditors**	**Auditor hours**
1. Preparation	David Mijoc	20.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	David Mijoc	6.0
3. Report writing	David Mijoc	12.0
4. Other	N/A	

5.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	**Role**	**Qualification**
David Mijoc	Lead Auditor	FSC, PEFC and SBP Auditor, over 15 years experience in forest certification, 4 years works as CoC auditor at SCS Global Services. Has PhD diploma in forestry.

5.3 Description of evaluation activities

This 1st surveillance audit covered the SBP management system and Chain of Custody, including feedstock, suppliers, material receipt, traceability, material accounting and outsourced activities. Outsourced storage locations were not visited during this audit but were checked through relevant procedures, agreements and records. The organization's systems were also reviewed, including IFS, Proves, Chinsay and DTS.
During the audit, Värtaverket (largest facility) was visited. The facility mainly consumes wood chips coming from primary production in forests. Material is delivered by vessels, trucks and trains. During the site visit, receipt of material, unloading, handling, transport to storage and storage before consumption were observed. These activities were checked together with the related traceability and material records.
DTS was reviewed before and during the audit, 76 transactions made in the audit period (sampled range: 31 Oct 2025 - 24 Sep 2026). Moisture sampling and calculation of energy values were also reviewed. This is performed both by Stockholm Exergy (material coming with vessels) and Biometria (material coming by trains and trucks, an independent third-party organization).
Stockholm Exergi is mainly an end-user and there were no sales with an SBP claim during the audit period.
Significant audit time was allocated to preparation of the audit, review of documentation, sampling and verification of DTS transactions, traceability of material, interviews with responsible staff and the visit to Värtaverket. Pre-evaluation was conducted through exchange of documents before the audit and detailed preparation based on the documentation provided.

5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited

☒ N/A – No forest sites or suppliers visited during this evaluation
SE has SBP scope of Trader and End-User, therefore the focus was on site visits not suppliers visits.

5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used

The audit sampling included review of records, DTS transactions, staff interviews and on-site verification. Records sampled included supplier documentation, purchase and transport documents, procedures, training records, internal audit records and outsourcing agreements. During the sampled audit period, 76 transactions were recorded in DTS, of which 4 transactions were sampled and cross-checked against supplier documents and SARs. There were no sales of material with an SBP claim during the audit period, which is verified by sampling 5 sales documents in the audit period (no SBP claims on them). Staff from relevant functions were interviewed to verify how the procedures are implemented in practice. Physical verification included a visit to Värtaverket, where material receipt, unloading, handling and storage were observed. Outsourced storage locations were not visited during this audit and were checked through agreements and records.

5.6 CB stakeholder engagement

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

Guidance: Please specify the number and types of stakeholders engaged, method of engagement and its outcomes.

5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations

Overall, the audit evaluation showed a high level of conformity with the applicable SBP Standards. The management and certification system is well organized and coordinated, and cooperation during the audit was very good. Staff demonstrated good knowledge of their responsibilities, relevant SBP requirements and how the system works in practice. Traceability, DTS management and supporting records were generally well maintained. No significant weaknesses were identified. Some procedures and documents could be clearer and better aligned with each other, but this did not create a significant risk to material traceability or the overall functioning of the system. Some minor areas for improvement were identified, mainly related to wording and clarity of documented procedures and management of information in DTS. Overall, the main strength is the good knowledge of staff and the way different functions and systems work together to support certification requirements. Software systems in the organization are on world-class level.

5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation

☒ N/A – Standard 1 not in the scope of the evaluation

5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED

☒ N/A – processing residues and/or post-consumer feedstock not used.

5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4

The Standard 4 evaluation covered the organization's Chain of Custody system from receipt of SBP material through storage, handling, consumption and material accounting. The audit included review of procedures, supplier and purchase records, transport documents, outsourcing agreements and the organization's systems, including IFS and DTS. Material traceability and accounting were checked by sampling 4 out of 76 DTS transactions and comparing them with supplier documentation and SARs. Staff responsible for sustainability and logistics were interviewed to verify how the system is implemented in practice. A site visit to Värtaverket was conducted, where receipt, unloading, handling and storage of biomass were observed. Outsourced storage locations were not visited during this audit and were evaluated through agreements, procedures and records. No material with an SBP claim was sold during the audit period.

5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5

The Standard 5 evaluation covered feedstock, energy and carbon data, supplier SARs, Product Groups and DTS transactions. Full access to DTS was provided, and sampled transactions were checked against supplier SARs, purchase documents and other supporting records. Batch characteristics, quantities and DTS statuses were also reviewed.

Stockholm Exergi is an end-user and a trader and does not prepare its own SAR. Required GHG and energy data is available through suppliers' SARs and DTS. There were 76 DTS transactions during the audit period, and 4 detailed samples were checked for traceability. No material with an SBP claim was sold during the audit period, which was also verified through sampled sales invoices. Requirements related to own SAR, SREG and downstream SBP data communication were not applicable.

5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel

No Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) was conducted by Stockholm Exergi, as the organization is an end-user and trader and does not act as a Biomass Producer.
The key personnel responsible for the SBP management and control system showed good knowledge of SBP requirements and good understanding of their responsibilities. During interviews, sustainability and logistics personnel demonstrated good experience with CoC, traceability, DTS, supplier controls and Standards requirements. The system is managed by a well-coordinated team, and personnel were familiar with both the documented procedures and how the requirements are implemented in practice.

5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Standard 6 not in the scope

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Supply Base Evaluation not in the scope of the evaluation

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope

N/A

6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures