



Supply Base Report: Baltic Forest Company LLC

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

Contents

1	Overview
2	Description of the Supply Base
2.1	General description
2.2	Description of countries included in the Supply Base
2.3	Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
2.4	Quantification of the Supply Base
3	Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation
4	Supply Base Evaluation
4.1	Scope
4.2	Justification
4.3	Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
4.4	Conclusion
5	Supply Base Evaluation process
6	Stakeholder consultation
6.1	Response to stakeholder comments
7	Mitigation measures
7.1	Mitigation measures
7.2	Monitoring and outcomes
8	Detailed findings for indicators
9	Review of report
9.1	Peer review
9.2	Public or additional reviews
10	Approval of report
Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators	

1 Overview

Producer name: Baltic Forest Company LLC

Producer address: 5 Novaja street office 10, 238300 Gurjevsk, Russia

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-09-06

Geographic position: 54.774171, 20.604047

Primary contact: Elena Konshu, +79211022727, elena1022727@gmail.com

Company website: <https://balticforest.company/>

Date report finalised: 01 Jun 2021

Close of last CB audit: 01 Oct 2021

Name of CB: Forest Certification LLC

SBP Standard(s) used: SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction

Weblink to Standard(s) used: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: Not applicable

Weblink to SBR on Company website: <https://balticforest.company/>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations					
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re-assessment
☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Primary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Russia

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Russia

Area/Region: Kaliningrad

Exclusions: No

LLC "Baltic Forest Company" is located in Guryevsk, Kaliningrad region of Russia.

The company uses fuelwood of deciduous species as a raw material for the production of chips, which is obtained and processed on the mobile chipping sites in certified forest areas of LLC Baltic Forest Company in the Kaliningrad region of Russia.

LLC Baltic Forest Company uses only its own certified raw materials (SBP-compliant Primary Feedstock) obtained from the forests of the Kaliningrad region within the certified size of forest lease agreement according to a separate forest management certificate FC-FM / COC-643342 (<https://info.fsc.org/details.php?id=a023300000ayvmYAAQ&type=certificate#result>).

The raw material supply base is located in the districts of 2 forestry (Zheleznodorozhny, Chernyakhovsky) and 4 administrative districts (Gvardeisky, Pravdinsky, Chernyakhovsky, Gusevsky) of the Kaliningrad region of Russia.

LLC "Baltic Forest Company" does not buy wood species listed in the Red Book or CITES list.

The Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Kaliningrad Region is the executive authority of the constituent entity of the Russian Federation in the field of forestry relations in the Kaliningrad Region. This Ministry is a permanent executive body of state executive power in the region in the field of organizing and ensuring the use, protection and reproduction of forests, afforestation on forest lands, maintaining the state forest register, exercising federal state forest supervision (forest protection), Federal state fire supervision in forests, ensuring investment and innovative development of the forest industry in the region.

In accordance with the legislation of the Russian Federation, all lands of the forest fund are state property. Legal entities can use forest plots for lease and short-term use. Lease relations are the dominant legal form of forest management. The lease term can be up to 49 years. The conclusion of an agreement for the lease or sale of forest plantations is carried out at an auction for the sale of the right to conclude such agreements. Leased forest plots are subject to state expertise and cadastral registration. In accordance with the Forest Code of the Russian Federation, each forest user leasing a forest area undertakes:

- to carry out activities for the protection and reproduction of forests;
- submit an annual forest declaration;

- to draw up a forest development project;
- to provide a report on the use of forests, their protection and reproduction.

Ensuring high-quality reproduction of forest resources and protective afforestation is a prerequisite for the use of forests. All reforestation work on leased forest areas is planned and carried out by forest users at their own expense in accordance with forest development projects.

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

Since 2016 Baltic Forest Company LLC has an FSC CoC certificate and is interested in using only FSC-certified feedstock under its FSC forest management certificate. No other actions were taken to promote certification among suppliers of raw materials.

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- Total Supply Base area (million ha):** 0,06
- Tenure by type (million ha):**0.06 (Privately owned)
- Forest by type (million ha):**0.06 (Temperate)
- Forest by management type (million ha):**0.06 (Natural)
- Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**0.06 (FSC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Thinning

Explanation: N/A

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

Explanation: N/A

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: N/A

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? No

Explanation: N/A

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jun 2020

Reporting period to: 31 May 2021

- Total volume of Feedstock:** 1-200,000 tonnes
- Volume of primary feedstock:** 1-200,000 tonnes
- List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**

- Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 80% - 100%
 - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- d. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:** Acer platanoides (N/A); Alnus glutinosa (N/A); Alnus incana (N/A); Betula pendula (N/A); Carpinus betulus (N/A); Fraxinus excelsior (N/A); Populus tremula (N/A); Quercus robur (N/A); Tilia cordata (N/A); Ulmus laevis (N/A); Fagus sylvatica (N/A); Salix alba (N/A);
- e. Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?** No
- Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** 0,00
- g. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** 0,00
- h. Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):** 100,00
- i. Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:** 0
- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%):** 0,00
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:** 67647 tonnes
- l. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:**
- Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 80% - 100%
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock:** 0 N/A
- Physical form of the feedstock: N/A
- n. Volume of tertiary feedstock:** 0 N/A
- Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period				
Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Primary	0,00	100,00	0,00	0,00
Secondary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Tertiary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Other	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Not applicable

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: N/A

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used:
N/A

Specific risk description:

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

N/A

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

N/A

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

N/A

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

N/A

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

N/A

10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	Elena Konshu	Director of Marketing	01 Jun 2021
	Name	Title	Date
Report Prepared by:	Dmitriy Solovyev	General Director	01 Jun 2021
	Name	Title	Date
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.			
Report approved by:	Elena Konshu	Director of marketing	01 Jun 2021
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	Dmitriy Solovyev	General Director	01 Jun 2021
	Name	Title	Date

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A