



NEPCon OÜ Evaluation of Verdo Trading AS Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 1.5

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1: published 30 January 2018

Version 1.2: published 4 April 2018

Version 1.3: published 10 May 2018

Version 1.4: published 16 August 2018

Version 1.5: published 22 October 2020

© Copyright The Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

Table of Contents

1	Overview
2	Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate
2.1	Description of the company
2.2	Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system
3	Specific objective
4	Evaluation process
4.1	Timing of evaluation activities
4.2	Description of evaluation activities
4.3	Sampling methodology
4.4	CB stakeholder engagement
4.5	Stakeholder feedback
5	Results
5.1	Main strengths and weaknesses
5.2	Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation
5.3	Collection and communication of data
5.4	Competency of involved personnel
6	Review of company's risk assessments
6.1	Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures
6.2	Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures
7	Non-conformities and observations
8	Certification decision

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	NEPCon OÜ
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Ondrej Tarabus
Primary CB contact email:	otarabus@preferredbynature.org
Audit team leader:	Simon Møller
Audit team members:	Pilar Gorria Serrano
Name of the Company:	Verdo Trading AS
Company legal address:	Agerskellet 7, 8920 Randers NV, Denmark
Company contact for SBP:	Line Risgaard Mortensen
Company contact email:	limo@verdo.com
Company website:	www.verdo.com
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-01-11
Date of certificate issue:	09 Mar 2021
Date of certificate expiry:	08 Mar 2026
Audit closing meeting date:	15 Mar 2022
Audit cycle:	First Surveillance Audit

2 Scope of the evaluation and SBP certificate

Scope Item	Check all that apply to the Certificate Scope	Change in scope (N/A for Assessments)
Primary Activity:	Trader	☐
Approved Standards:	SBP Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance Standard; SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction	☐
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE):	Yes	☐
Includes communication of Dynamic Batch Sustainability Data (DBSD)	No	☐
Includes Group Scheme	No	☐
Products	Chips, Pellets	☐

Feedstock types:	Primary	☐
Feedstock origin (countries):	Denmark, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland	☐
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Public link: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	Denmark	☐
Chain of custody system implemented:	PEFC, FSC: NC-CoC-021375 NC-PEFC/CoC-021375	☐
	Transfer	☐

2.1 Description of the company

The Organisation is primarily a biomass trader, trading both wood pellets and wood chips and fuel wood logs, but can also maintain a Biomass Producer role. The Organisation is primarily a biomass trader, trading both wood pellets and wood chips and fuel wood logs, but can also maintain a Biomass Producer role. For the Biomass Producer activities, the Supply Base includes Denmark, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Poland. The scope of the certificate includes Supply Base Evaluation only for feedstock sourced from Denmark. Feedstock sourced in other countries in the Supply Base is sourced as FSC or PEFC certified and hence SBP-compliant Feedstock. The Organisation delivers the SBP biomass produced to the end-points either via truck for biomass of Danish origin, or via vessel and/or truck for biomass from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Poland. For the trading activities, the Organisation is sourcing SBP biomass from the Biomass Producers globally and usually transports the biomass directly to customers by vessel, but the Organisation may also transport to the customer via storage facilities at its own address or logistics facilities in the port of Aarhus in accordance with the implemented FSC transfer system. The Organisation is producing SBP-compliant biomass, but could potentially also sell or trade SBP-controlled biomass. The Organisation prepares a Wood chip SAR document and reports changes in GHG data under Verdo Trading's ownership in a SREG when applicable. The Organisation holds valid FSC and PEFC certificates with transfer system implemented. For the trading activities, the Organisation is sourcing SBP biomass from the Biomass Producers globally and usually transports the biomass directly to customers by vessel, but the Organisation may also transport to the customer via storage facilities at its own address or logistics facilities in the port of Aarhus in accordance with the implemented FSC transfer system. The Organisation is producing SBP-compliant biomass, but could potentially also sell or trade SBP-controlled biomass. The Organisation prepares a Wood chip SAR document and reports changes in GHG data under Verdo Trading's ownership in a SREG when applicable. The Organisation holds valid FSC and PEFC certificates with transfer system implemented.

2.2 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system

The Organisation has implemented a transfer system for its trading activities of biomass, which comprises pellets and wood chips. The Organisation's scope is in accordance with these activities. The process covers trade and transportation of biomass as well as storage of the traded biomass at the BP's facilities in the port of Randers, where the Main office is located. The storage facilities included at the time of the audit an outsourced site in Horsens port, which is operated by Guldager Energi A/S.. The biomass is purchased from suppliers in either Denmark or Baltics including Poland, and is then transported to Randers for own consumption or passed on to other customers. While stored, the material is physically separated. The BP's facilities in Randers comprises several warehouses, which are used for different categories of wood pellets. At all times are the products separated according to their physical attributes and respective claims, which assures the transfer system is protected at all times. The Organisation is able to produce all relevant records of stored material for all its individual and based on input and output material for each warehouse each delivery can be traced. The FSC and SBP claims are mentioned on the sales invoices. The sustainability characteristics for each batch are transferred to the customers via the DTS system as required.

3 Specific objective

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification. The scope of this evaluation also includes the Supply Base Evaluation applied to feedstock from Denmark, and the implementation of required mitigation measures for sourcing of feedstock under the SBE in Denmark.

The scope of the evaluation covered:

- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of CoC system control points, analysis of the existing CoC system;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records, calculations and conversion coefficients;
- GHG data collection analysis.
- Evaluation of mitigation measures implemented

4 Evaluation process

4.1 Timing of evaluation activities

<i>Audit Level of Effort (LoE)</i>		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Simon Møller	3,0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Simon Møller	8,0
3. Report writing	Simon Møller	8,0
4. Other	Pilar Goría	3,0

<i>Audit Schedule</i>			
Activity	Location	Auditor name	Date/time
<i>Opening meeting</i>	Main office	Simon Møller	15 Mar 2022/14:30
<i>Review of documents: MS, Volume data</i>	Main office	Simon Møller	15 Mar 2022/14:45
<i>Review of MS; Interviews with staff</i>	Main office	Simon Møller	15 Mar 2022/15:00
<i>Closing Meeting</i>	Main office	Simon Møller	15 Mar 2022/15:30

Visit with supplier	Horsens, Denmark	Simon Møller	17 Mar 2022/10:00
---------------------	------------------	--------------	-------------------

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Simon Møller	Audit team leader	Simon holds a joint MA in Finance and Business journalism from the City University London, the U.K, and Aarhus University, Denmark. He is currently studying a Master of Science in Forestry, concentrating in ecology and management, at the Bangor University in Wales, U.K. Simon has previous experience within forestry and consulting and completed the PEFC and FSC Forest Management lead auditor training course in 2021 and FSC Chain of Custody in 2020. He has audited various companies within the PEFC, FSC Controlled Wood, and SBP certification systems, together with bespoke services across the Scandinavian wood industry.
Pilar Goría	Audit team member	Forest engineer (Politecnico Univ. Of Madrid). Pilar has successfully completed SBP training course and the NEPCoN Lead auditor training for FSC/PEFC CoC and FM certification. Has experience from forest certification (FSC / PEFC FM), traceability (FSC / PEFC CoC) and biomass certification (SBP - Sustainable Biomass Program) for more than 10 years.

4.2 Description of evaluation activities

The audit team assembled with executives of Verdo Trading A/S, hereafter also referred to as the Organisation or the BP, for the opening meeting on 15 March 2022. The Organisation had made sure all relevant staff were participating either in the presence or otherwise available. The audit kicked off with an opening meeting and a round of introduction by all participants. This included a rundown of the audit plan,

sample-based methodology and aim of the audit. This also included a brief on the CB's internal audit report approval and confidentiality confirmation among the audit team members.

With this covered, the auditors proceeded to cover all applicable requirements of the standards, including management system, CoC, recordkeeping requirements, emission and energy data and verification of SBP-compliant biomass. It was followed with an audit of the purchasing and sales functions. During the process, the overall responsible person for the SBP system and responsible staff with key responsibilities within the system were interviewed.

During the closing meeting, auditor explained the results of the audit and further actions were discussed.

4.3 Sampling methodology

The audit involved interviews with responsible staff and a review of sales documents, DTS transactions and transportation documents. A sample was retrieved based on the total orders of claimed material handled by the Organisation in the audit period, based on a scaled approach to capture all the different sources of feedstock the BP receives biomass from. The audit included a visit to the sub-supplier Guldager Energi A/S, who provides storage facilities in the port of Horsens.

4.4 CB stakeholder engagement

A stakeholder consultation was carried out in connection with the 2021 reassessment audit. No further actions have been required and no submissions were received as part of the consultation.

4.5 Stakeholder feedback

A stakeholder consultation was carried out in connection with the 2021 reassessment audit. No further actions have been required and no submissions were received as part of the consultation.

5 Results

5.1 Main strengths and weaknesses

Verdo Trading is primarily purchasing biomass for the group's production of heat and power, and only retains its trading operation to other consumers as a secondary business. The Organisation's SBP activities are quite straight forward and involves trading activities, including transport, relating to the physical ownership of the claimed material. The BP has a descriptive procedure addressing the requirements in Standard #4 and #5 including the relevant instruction notes. Relative to the size of Verdo Trading A/S, it is only a small group of people that is working with SBP related activities and the responsible staff showed good knowledge about the SBP system and how it is implemented in the Organisation.

For weaknesses, see the non-conformity section.

5.2 Rigour of Supply Base Evaluation

The BP has used the SBP endorsed regional risk assessment for Denmark (June 2017) which has been widely circulated for stakeholder consultation. Based on the "specified risks" in this risk assessment the Organisation has implemented relevant mitigation measures.

Supply Base Evaluation is only implemented for material sourced from Denmark, and not for any other country the BP sources feedstock from.

5.3 Collection and communication of data

The Organisation has very good awareness of the requirements related to collection and communication of GHG and emissions data. The energy connected with transportation is calculated per each shipment as the distance change almost for every delivery of biomass. For biomass from other countries than Denmark, the transport distance data is obtained from the suppliers and verified against the reported origin of the feedstock as per the felling permits, which are forwarded to the BP by the suppliers.

5.4 Competency of involved personnel

The personnel involved in the SBP certification are well aware of their responsibilities and how the standards are implemented in across the Organisation. The overall responsible staff showed good knowledge about the SBP system and its requirements.

6 Review of company's risk assessments

6.1 Overview of company's risk assessments and mitigation measures

The BP exclusively sources feedstock in Denmark from suppliers who on an individual basis have been evaluated positively for the "Alternativ Dokumentation" evaluation or suppliers who are part of the group "Godkendt Biomasseproducent" and also have been evaluated according to the "Alternativ Dokumentation" evaluation which is a third party evaluation covering the same scope as SBP but not evaluated under SBP scope (does not result in SBP certificate for the actual biomass producer). Such evaluation covers identification and protection of HCVs. The appropriate implementation is evaluated regularly by the BP and during the audit also by the CB..

The BP's mitigation measures are based on the finding that, the mitigation measures for compliance with "Alternativ Dokumentation" evaluation are identical with the mitigation measures for the specified risks identified in the RRA for Denmark. Therefore, when suppliers evaluated for the "Alternativ Dokumentation" evaluation have implemented procedures in order to identify specified risk and to reduce risk, then the material can be categorized as SBP compliant. If suppliers are not able to reduce the risk for parts of the biomass, then it will not be categorized as SBP compliant.

The BP will follow the developments in the RRA for Denmark and the procedures developed for "Alternativ Dokumentation"/"Godkendt biomasseproducent" in order assure that its suppliers fully mitigate the specified risks identified. When the RRA for Denmark is updated, the BP will assure that updates are implemented in the "Alternativ Dokumentation"/"Godkendt biomasseproducent" evaluations.

Suppliers delivering feedstock which is categorized as SBP compliant will be monitored strictly by VT "SBP biomass monitoring program."

The "SBP Biomass monitoring program" is controlled by Benny Corneliussen.

Risk assessment

In all new jobs, the areas on which biomass is harvested will be screened according to the following indicators: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.4 where a specified risk has been established. The risk assessment is based on available map material and databases as well as a review of the area before startup. A map and checklist is prepared for each job to ensure that the machine operator is aware of protected or preserved nature/culture.

The risk assessment is divided into six categories.

1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests - always low risk
2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan - specified risk
3. Primary feedstock from even-aged stands of non-native coniferous trees - always low risk

4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first generation forest estates - always low risk
5. Primary feedstock from unevenaged forest stands or stands of broadleaved trees specified risk
6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects - always low risk

The BP has implemented an internal risk assessment programme that is being carried out by if a specified risk is identified. The assessment is carried out by a competent person, such as a forester/biologist/graduate in forestry. The forester/biologist/graduate shall be familiar with identifying key biotopes according to the key biotope type catalogue or similar.

Risk handling

VT contractually agrees with all suppliers that:

- They have a valid evaluation for "Alternativ Dokumentation" or are "Godkendt biomasseproducent"
- They have implemented the system and procedures effectively in their organization
- All biomass delivered to VT will be mitigated to "low-risk" according to the requirements in "Alternativ Dokumentation" or "Godkendt biomasseproducent".

Further VT contractually agrees with suppliers that:

- Staff carrying out screenings and planning of the jobs are familiar with applicable nature and environment legislation.
- Activities are planned to minimize the negative effect on ecosystems, biodiversity and areas worth preserving.
- Areas where wood chips are harvested must be examined before startup by a physical review and must be mapped.
- All procedures shall be explained in the suppliers manuals.
- A map will be prepared for each wood chip project. If maps have been prepared in connection with certification or a green management plan, these maps must be used in the process in order to ensure HCV areas.
 - o If the work area is located in a forest, it will be screened by the suppliers according to the checklist in the suppliers manual
 - o If the job consists of thinning in an afforestation or thinning in a uniform conifer stand, screening may be omitted. Legality must be ensured.
 - o If the work area is located outside a forest, screening may be omitted. Legality must be ensured.
 - o Each wood chip project is given a unique case number and address which also appear on the job description, weighing forms and basis of settlement. Ensure traceability.

o Each wood chip project has a checklist with relevant information. Ensure excellent communication between the various parties in the work process and note down all relevant data which the machine operator needs.

- In order to identify areas with high natural values during the work, machine operators working with woodchip production in the forest are encouraged to be trained in "Operation of machines in areas close to nature".

Monitoring of Mitigation Measures

Both the functionality of the mitigation measures as well as projects will be monitored on a pending and annual basis via internal monitoring programs were reviewed as part of the onsite audit conducted by the CB. Personnel tasked to undertake the "SBP Biomass monitoring program" and documents relevant to it were reviewed as part of the audit. The result of the audit confirmed the BP's effort are generally appropriate for the scope and scale of the Organisation's biomass operation.

The BP's "SBP Biomass monitoring program" includes mitigation measures checked annually or if they are found to be inappropriate on a pending basis. Especially, the BP will follow the developments in the RRA for Denmark and the procedures developed for "Alternativ Dokumentation"/"Godkendt Biomasseproducent" in order assure that its suppliers fully mitigate the specified risks identified.

The BP's "SBP Biomass monitoring program" is focusing on any findings identified during the desk and field based visits that are a risk to the Organisation's sourcing policy.

SBP Biomass monitoring program Suppliers and deliveries are monitored according to the following sampling system:

- It is on a pending basis checked that the screenings documents stated in the contract are supplied for all projects.
- All suppliers are monitored at least every 6 months.
- If errors are found during field visits and desk assessments, the sample size shall be increased until it is clear to what extend the errors are systematic or random and what is the appropriate action to take.

Field based monitoring

- A sampling frequency is chosen where at least the square root of the number of projects delivered by the supplier in the foregoing 6 months, are monitored by field visits. The projects are monitored with The BP's checklist for risk assessment.

Desk based monitoring

- A sampling frequency is chosen where at least 30 % of the projects delivered by the supplier in the foregoing 6 months, are monitored by field or desk assessment. The projects are monitored with the BP's checklist for risk assessment.

A report for each supplier covering the projects monitored shall present findings, conclusions and corrective actions agreed upon with the supplier.

6.2 Specified risk indicators and mitigation measures

Country/Area	Indicator	Specified risk description	Mitigation measure
Denmark	2.1.1 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures for verifying that forests and other areas with high conservation value in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.	The key issue in the regional risk assessment, the based on the stakeholder process at the time, was centred on the lack of legal requirement for identification and mapping of Key biotopes (HCV category 3), and the notion that this would pose a potential risk when carrying out forest management activities. The stakeholders accepted an approach where several sub-scopes were defined, with the purpose of only having apply mitigation measures for sub-scopes where these are relevant. The Biomass Producer has adopted the following sub-scopes from the SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: 1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests 2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan 3. Primary feedstock from thinnings in even-aged coniferous stands 4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first-generation forest estates 5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification 6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects	The BP has established a system for ensure that their supplier are correctly in effectively implementing mitigation measures as required to mitigate the risk identified in the SBP endorsed Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark. The BP has documented and described procedures to implemented by the forest contractors for having professional foresters (min. B.SC.) carry out correct identifying and mapping "key biotopes" and for protecting these when proceeding with felling and extraction operations. The management system also requires the forest contractors to document policies for protecting biologically valuable trees and dead wood. The BP's documented supplier management system includes procedures for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the planned mitigation measures, and for improving these if they are found to be insufficient. It is auditor's evaluation that the established mitigating measures are sufficient to ensure the objective of mitigating the risks identified.
Denmark	2.1.2 The BP has implemented	The key issue in the regional risk assessment, the based on the stakeholder process at the time,	

	appropriate control systems and procedures to identify and address potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities.	was centred on the lack of legal requirement for identification and mapping of Key biotopes (HCV category 3), and the notion that this would pose a potential risk when carrying out forest management activities. The stakeholders accepted an approach where several sub-scopes were defined, with the purpose of only having apply mitigation measures for sub-scopes where these are relevant. The Biomass Producer has adopted the following sub-scopes from the SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: 1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests 2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan 3. Primary feedstock from thinnings in even-aged coniferous stands 4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first-generation forest estates 5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification 6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects	The BP has established a system for ensure that their supplier are correctly in effectively implementing mitigation measures as required to mitigate the risk identified in the SBP endorsed Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark. The BP has documented and described procedures to implemented by the forest contractors for having professional foresters (min. B.SC.) carry out correct identifying and mapping "key biotopes" and for protecting these when proceeding with felling and extraction operations. The management system also requires the forest contractors to document policies for protecting biologically valuable trees and dead wood. The BP's documented supplier management system includes procedures for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the planned mitigation measures, and for improving these if they are found to be insufficient. It is auditor's evaluation that the established mitigating measures are sufficient to ensure the objective of mitigating the risks identified.
Denmark	2.2.3 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural	The key issue in the regional risk assessment, the based on the stakeholder process at the time, was centred on the lack of legal requirement for identification and mapping of Key biotopes (HCV category 3), and the notion that this would pose a potential risk when carrying out forest management activities. The stakeholders accepted an approach where several sub-scopes were defined, with the purpose of only having apply	The BP has established a system for ensure that their supplier are correctly in effectively implementing mitigation measures as required to mitigate the risk identified in the SBP endorsed Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark. The BP has documented and described procedures to implemented by the forest contractors for having professional foresters (min. B.SC.) carry out correct identifying and mapping "key biotopes" and for protecting these when proceeding with felling and extraction

	state (CPET S8b).	mitigation measures for sub-scopes where these are relevant. The Biomass Producer has adopted the following sub-scopes from the SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: 1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests 2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan 3. Primary feedstock from thinnings in even-aged coniferous stands 4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first-generation forest estates 5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification 6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects	operations. The management system also requires the forest contractors to document policies for protecting biologically valuable trees and dead wood. The BP's documented supplier management system includes procedures for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness of the planned mitigation measures, and for improving these if they are found to be insufficient. It is auditor's evaluation that the established mitigating measures are sufficient to ensure the objective of mitigating the risks identified.
Denmark	2.2.4 The BP has implemented appropriate control systems and procedures to ensure that biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).	The key issue in the regional risk assessment, the based on the stakeholder process at the time, was centred on the lack of legal requirement for identification and mapping of Key biotopes (HCV category 3), and the notion that this would pose a potential risk when carrying out forest management activities. The stakeholders accepted an approach where several sub-scopes were defined, with the purpose of only having apply mitigation measures for sub-scopes where these are relevant. The Biomass Producer has adopted the following sub-scopes from the SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: 1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests 2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan 3. Primary feedstock from thinnings	The BP has established a system for ensure that their supplier are correctly in effectively implementing mitigation measures as required to mitigate the risk identified in the SBP endorsed Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark. The BP has documented and described procedures to implemented by the forest contractors for having professional foresters (min. B.SC.) carry out correct identifying and mapping "key biotopes" and for protecting these when proceeding with felling and extraction operations. The management system also requires the forest contractors to document policies for protecting biologically valuable trees and dead wood. The BP's documented supplier management system includes procedures for monitoring the implementation and effectiveness

		in even-aged coniferous stands 4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first-generation forest estates 5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification 6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects	of the planned mitigation measures, and for improving these if they are found to be insufficient. It is auditor's evaluation that the established mitigating measures are sufficient to ensure the objective of mitigating the risks identified.
--	--	---	--

7 Non-conformities and observations

NC number NC-001363 (01/22)		NC Grading: Minor
Standard:	Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.5	
Requirement:	3.2.1 The BP shall determine the Scope End-points for biomass supplied with an SBP Claim. A Scope End-point occurs after production where biomass is transferred outside the scope of the BP's certificate to another Legal Owner. An example is a port where the transfer of ownership takes place for delivery to an End-user or Trader. There can be more than one Scope End-point for a single biomass production facility.	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
During the audit it was found the distances noted in the SAR did not correspond with the sites where biomass had been sourced from. The distance had been indicated at 50,0 km, although they were likely to be closer to an average of 94 km. As this incorrect distances were only applicable amount of the sourced biomass and the error could easily be corrected, the audit team decided to only raise a minor NCR. All other stated distances were found to be valid with the correct values.		
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date	
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NC Status:	Open	

NC number NC-001364 (02/22)		NC Grading: Minor
Standard:	SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock	
Requirement:	IN2C; 4.1 The report shall be concise, covering the most important features, and shall be completed using the latest version of the SBR template for Biomass Producers downloaded from the SBP website.	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
During the review of the Organisation's SBR it was found that the SBE did not include a list of red listed		

and protected species in the descriptions for its sourcing countries.	
Timeline for Conformance:	By the next surveillance audit, but no later than 12 months from report finalisation date
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NC Status:	Open

NC number NC-001365 (01/22)		NC Grading: Observation
Standard:	Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.5	
Requirement:	6.4.1 Please refer to the definitions of final harvest, thinning, end of life trees, salvage trees, plantation and short rotation coppices in section 2.	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
During the audit a review of the Organisation's SBE was conducted. It was found that the Organisation had not detailed sufficiently to which degree it had sourced from e.g. thinnings or cleacuts in its feedstock table as it was based on a discrete assumptions made by its sourcing team instead of actual data. The values sated by Organisation was however not found to be contradicted when compared to sales documents issued by its suppliers. Hence is this raised as a OBSERVATION.		
Timeline for Conformance:	N/A	
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	N/A	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	N/A	
NC Status:	N/A	

8 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's quality review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Nikolai Tochilov
Date of decision:	06 Jul 2022
Other comments:	N/A