Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Control Union Certifications BV Evaluation of TotalEnergies Gas & Power Limited, London, Meyrin-Geneva Branch

Third Surveillance Audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.1 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see **www.sbp-cert.org**

Document history

Version 2.0	**Published 10 August 2023**
Version 2.1	Published 21 May 2025

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025

Table of contents

1 Overview **3**

2 Audit conclusions **4**

2.1 Certification decision 4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations 4
2.2 Closed non-conformities 5
2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation 5
2.4 Notes for the next Audit 5

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate **6**

3.1 Description of the company 7
3.2 Site details 7
3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups 7

4 Specific objective of the audit **8**

5 Evaluation process **9**

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities 9
5.2 Auditor team qualification 9
5.3 Description of evaluation activities 9
5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited 9
5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used 10
5.6 CB stakeholder engagement 10
5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response 10
5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations 10
5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation 10
5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED 10
5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4 10
5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5 11
5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel 11
5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable) 11

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable) **12**

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope 12
6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures 12

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	Control Union Certifications BV
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Lukasz Dabrowski
Primary CB contact email:	ldabrowski@controlunion.com
Audit team leader:	Adam Horvath
Audit team members:	NA
Name of the Company:	TotalEnergies Gas & Power Limited, London, Meyrin-Geneva Branch
Company legal address:	10 Route de l'Aéroport, 1215 Geneva, Switzerland
Company contact for SBP:	Hans Meijer
Company contact email:	hans.meijer@tgptrading.com
Company website:	https://totalenergies.com
Date of installation:	
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-06-12
Date of certificate issue:	11 Jan 2023
Date of certificate expiry:	10 Jan 2028
Audit closing meeting date:	20 Jan 2026
Audit cycle:	Third Surveillance Audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Evaline Okumu
Date of decision:	23 Jan 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

2.2 Closed non-conformities

2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

The Organisation has been well prepared and was fully available during the audit.

2.4 Notes for the next Audit

N/A

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate

Scope item	Check all that apply to the Certificate scope
Primary activity	Trader
Secondary activity	
Approved Standards	SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0; Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413; Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon data. v2.1
Product Group IDs	☒ Forest feedstock (1A) ☐ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Urban and landscape feedstock (2A) ☐ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Agricultural land feedstock (3A) ☐ Processing residues feedstock (4A) ☐ Post-consumer feedstock (5A) ☐ Other (please specify)
SBP Feedstock types	☒ SBP Compliant; ☒ SBP Controlled
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Includes EU RED Scheme	Yes
Includes EU RED Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Products	WB 2.1 Wood chips, WB 1.1 Wood pellets
Feedstock origin (countries):	Estonia
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: **Public link:** https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	Not applicable
Chain of custody system	☐ Physical separation ☒ Mass balance
Outsourcing	No
Changes in the scope	From Version 1 to Version 2

3.1 Description of the company

Guidance: Please describe the type, structure and operations of the company including its role in the supply chain, its inputs and outputs as they related to SBP Standards.

TotalEnergies Gas & Power Limited, London, Meyrin-Geneva Branch is the commodity trading company of French and publicly listed TotalEnergies SE. TGP Geneva Branch procures the internal demand for non-oil energy commodities for the Total group of companies, for example liquefied natural gas, power, biomass etc. and executes an asset-backed trading strategy. In 2015 Total has committed itself to 20% of its portfolio being sustainable within 20 years and is working hard to achieve this. Part will be realised by investing in sun power, battery storage, sustainable biomass as feedstock for power and heat. The biomass trading activity is part of the Dry Bulk Trading Department.

3.2 Site details

Legal entity name	Address	Site Activity	Visited during the audit
ToTotalEnergies Gas & Power Limited	Geneve	Trader office	☒

3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups

Guidance: Please describe the operation of the CoC system including details of the mass balance management and a list of established Product Groups.

TotalEnergies Gas & Power Limited is a wood pellet & chips trader without storage. Back to back trading, where they can sometime also take ownership (tittle and risk) during the sea voyage. They use FSC CoC as underlying CoC system for SBP. Certificate CU-COC-856727, registration number FSC-C133497 (valid from 2021-12-21 till 2026-12-20). The company is also in the possession of a PEFC CoC certificate: CU-PEFC-856727 ((C856727CU-PEFC-01.2020), Licence number PEFC/15-31-0122, valid till 20.12.2026,

4 Specific objective of the audit

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the company's management system is capable of ensuring that all requirements of specified SBP Standards (4&5) version 2 are implemented across the entire scope of certification and that the company complies with all requirements of these standards. As the RED standards.

Review of the CCP at the difference departments, such as (but not limiting to): Front Office Contracting Phase: purchase and sales trades are made. Checking of Valid certificates in case of SBP, Front Office: Putting purchase and sales into the system: correctly input of all requirements in the system for operations to know what to expect, Operations: Execution phase: receiving of the material and all accompanying documents. Filling out DTS system and adding SREG information, Last audit it became apparent that the invoicing (Logo's or claims) is now also part of operations with their new software program and this CCP was also reviewed at operations.

5 Evaluation process

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	**Auditors**	**Auditor hours**
1. Preparation	Adam Horvath	4.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Adam Horvath	7.0
3. Report writing	Adam Horvath	4.0

5.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	**Role**	**Qualification**
Adam Horvath	Lead Auditor	CUC approved Chain of Custody lead auditor with years of auditing experience. with additional training and experience in sustainable certification systems, and having successfully completed the Lead Auditor Training.

5.3 Description of evaluation activities

The audit was performed on 20-01-2026. On 19-01-2026 a remote preparation and verification of documents had been done. The audit has been performed by review of documents, data, records and the DTS system, interviews and systems also in relation to the RED bridging document . This report is the result of the findings of a certification evaluation carried out by an independent lead auditor representing Control Union Certifications. The purpose of the assessment was to evaluate the compliance of the client with respect to the standards used within the scope of the certificate. The audit was combined with their FSC CoC surveillance audit.
CCP's identified:
Front Office Contracting Phase: purchase and sales trades are made. Evaluation included: Checking of Valid certificates in case of SBP, Procedures in place. Training done. Interview confirms understanding of the system.
Front Office: Putting purchase and sales into the system: correctly input of all requirements in the system for operations to know what to expect Evaluation included: Procedures in place. Training done. Interview confirms understanding of the system. Checked and confirmed by liability officer prior to execution phase.
Operations: Execution phase: receiving of the material and all accompanying documents. Evaluation included: Filling out DTS system and adding SREG information Procedures in place. Training done. Interview confirms understanding of the system. Final invoices sent. (Logo's or claims) Evaluation included: Procedures in place. Training of supervisor done (and she trained her staff). Interview confirms understanding of the system.

5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited

☒ N/A – No forest sites or suppliers visited during this evaluation
Back to back trader N/A

5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used

The sampling of review of records was based on the transfer registration system and and DTS registrations and ENplus sales. Because of the relative limit amount of time needed to audit a trader without storage and the amount of vessels traded all DTS transactions and/or FSC transaction documents (invoices, inspection report, Bill of ladings and analytical reports) were reviewed. Staff interviews were conducted.

5.6 CB stakeholder engagement

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

Guidance: Please specify the number and types of stakeholders engaged, method of engagement and its outcomes.

5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations

The audit was conducted in an open and positive environment. The company was well prepared for the audit both in knowledge as in manual and management system. No issues were found that could be raised as non-compliance to the requirement of the standard. The procedures and record keeping are thought to be sufficient for the size and complexity of the company. Experience in trade of SBP and forest management certified (FSC/PEFC) material for many years.

5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation

☒ N/A – Standard 1 not in the scope of the evaluation

5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED

☒ N/A – processing residues and/or post-consumer feedstock not used.

5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4

The evaluation confirmed that the organization maintains an effective Chain of Custody (CoC) system appropriate for a trader without physical possession of materials. Documented procedures clearly describe how sustainability claims are transferred, verified, and recorded throughout all transactions. Supplier documentation, contractual arrangements, and Dummy sales records were reviewed to ensure accurate linkage between incoming and outgoing claims. The system relies on robust administrative controls, including timely data entry, traceability checks, and internal oversight mechanisms. No gaps were identified in the handling of sustainability information, and the CoC system was found to meet the requirements of Standard 4.

5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5

Experience with SBP shipments in the past years. Requirement for SBP at the client side is limited. A training program is followed and shows understanding of the procedures. Standard 5 is in the scope. During interview, procedure and the SREG template with notes and filled out with basic numbers show they understand what will be expected and how to do it. SREG is used for transport GHG only because transactions are exclusively purchased FOB and sold CIF/DAP. Required documents for RED III are in place.

5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel

Experience with SBP for the last years. The company is ENplus, FSC CoC and PEFC CoC certified and have experience with certification systems. The staff working at the identified control points have participated in annual inhouse PEFC, FSC and SBP training with subjects: SBP, SREG, GHG data, FESC/PEFC/SBP manual version 1.2, SBP presentation. Interviews confirmed their understanding of the system.

5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Standard 6 not in the scope

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Supply Base Evaluation not in the scope of the evaluation

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope

N/A

6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures