



Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Control Union Certifications BV
Evaluation of Nutjobs Inc, dba AllMade
Materials

Main (Initial) Audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org





Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.1 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 2.0	Published 10 August 2023
Version 2.1	Published 21 May 2025

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025

Table of contents

1 Overview	3
2 Audit conclusions	4
2.1 Certification decision	4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations	4
2.2 Closed non-conformities	5
2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation	5
2.4 Notes for the next Audit	5
3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate	6
3.1 Description of the company	7
3.2 Site details	7
3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups	7
4 Specific objective of the audit	9
5 Evaluation process	10
5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities	10
5.2 Auditor team qualification	10
5.3 Description of evaluation activities	10
5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited	10
5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used	11
5.6 CB stakeholder engagement	11
5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response	11
5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations	11
5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation	12
5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED	12
5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4	12
5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5	12
5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel	12
5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)	13
6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)	14
6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope	14
6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures	14

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	Control Union Certifications BV
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Evaline Okumu
Primary CB contact email:	eokumu@controlunion.com
Audit team leader:	Henrique Silva
Audit team members:	-
Name of the Company:	Nutjobs Inc, dba AllMade Materials
Company legal address:	10 Harris Ct., Unit C-1, Monterey CA 93940, United States
Company contact for SBP:	Jeff Anderson
Company contact email:	jeff@allmadematerials.com
Company website:	
Date of installation:	02 Sep 2026
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-11-50
Date of certificate issue:	26 Oct 2026
Date of certificate expiry:	25 Oct 2031
Audit closing meeting date:	04 Sep 2026
Audit cycle:	Main (Initial) Audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:

Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Bruna Scarparo
Date of decision:	14 Oct 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

2.2 Closed non-conformities

2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

N/A

2.4 Notes for the next Audit

Implement the SBE evaluated during this audit

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate

Scope item	Check all that apply to the Certificate scope
Primary activity	Biomass Producer
Secondary activity	Trader
Approved Standards	SBP Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance v2.0; SBP Standard 2: Feedstock Verification v2.0; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0; Instruction Document 1A: SBP Requirements for Primary Feedstock from Trees Outside Forests (TOF) v1.0; Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon data. v2.1; Instruction Document Japan: Bridging Requirements for meeting Japanese sustainability, legality and GHG saving requirements v1.2; SBP Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting EU RED v2.0
Product Group IDs	☐ Forest feedstock (1A) ☐ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Urban and landscape feedstock (2A) ☒ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Agricultural land feedstock (3A) ☐ Processing residues feedstock (4A) ☐ Post-consumer feedstock (5A) ☐ Other (please specify)
SBP Feedstock types	☒ SBP Compliant; ☐ SBP Controlled
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	Yes
Includes EU RED Scheme	Yes
Includes EU RED Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	Yes
Products	WB 2.1 Wood chips, WB 1.1 Wood pellets
Feedstock origin (countries):	United States
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Public link: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	SBP-RRA-US-PF-FOR_v1.0 RRA for US Private FOR_Interim
Chain of custody system	☐ Physical separation ☒ Mass balance
Outsourcing	Yes
Changes in the scope	This is a initial audit for biomass producer and trader

3.1 Description of the company

Guidance: Please describe the type, structure and operations of the company including its role in the supply chain, its inputs and outputs as they related to SBP Standards.

AllMade Materials is a California-based company focused on the valorisation of agricultural by-products from the tree nut industry. The company develops and supplies industrial materials and biomass feedstocks derived from California almond production. For biomass applications, AllMade Materials sources and utilises two main almond-derived materials: **Almond shell**, generated as a by-product of the annual almond harvesting and processing activities. The almond shells can be processed into flour or densified forms and are intended for industrial applications, including material manufacturing and other biomass uses. **Almond orchard wood**, generated as a by-product of the regular replacement and replanting of mature or non-productive almond orchards in California. When an orchard reaches the end of its productive life, the almond trees are removed and mechanically processed into wood chips. AllMade Materials identifies this material as a dedicated industrial biomass feedstock and supplies it in forms such as chips, flour or pellets. The almond orchard wood therefore originates from **orchard replacement activities rather than from forestry operations**. The material is generated as part of the removal of existing almond trees to allow the establishment of new orchards. This provides a recurring agricultural biomass stream associated with the normal lifecycle and renewal of California's almond production system. The wood chips generated from orchard replacement can be collected, processed and supplied as a biomass feedstock for industrial and energy applications. The material is characterised by AllMade Materials as a dense hardwood biomass with relatively low moisture, low ash, low chlorine and low sulphur content and a high net calorific value, making it suitable for energy and other industrial applications. This activity contributes to the **valorisation of agricultural residues and the development of traceable biomass supply chains**, converting material generated during the replacement of agricultural orchards into a commercially usable industrial feedstock.

3.2 Site details

Legal entity name	Address	Site Activity	Visited during the audit
Allmade materials	10 Harris Ct Unit c, Monterey, CA 93940, Estados Unidos	Head office	☒
South Valley Farms	15455 Beech Ave, Wasco, CA 93280, Estados Unidos	Farm producer of biomass	☒

3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups

Guidance: Please describe the operation of the CoC system including details of the mass balance management and a list of established Product Groups.

AllMade Materials operates a fully controlled and integrated Chain of Custody system designed to ensure that almond-orchard biomass sourced from South Valley Farms is legally sourced, traceable, segregated, and accurately accounted for in accordance with SBP Standard 4. The Chain of Custody begins at the precise moment when AllMade assumes physical and legal control of the biomass: the discharge of finished wood chips from the chipper directly into the walking-floor transport truck. Prior to this point, all orchard removal and chipping activities are carried out by South Valley Farms and its contracted removal company. Once the chips enter the truck, the material is managed exclusively under AllMade's SBP procedures for traceability, mass balance, transportation, storage, and recordkeeping. Only approved suppliers may provide feedstock, and South Valley Farms is the sole supplier within the defined supply base. Supplier approval requires submission of legal business information, parcel/APN data, confirmation of land control, and a supplier declaration. AllMade verifies that the feedstock consists exclusively of end-of-life almond orchard trees originating from agricultural land with long-term continuous use prior to 2008, confirmed through historical imagery and county parcel records. At the control point, each load is verified for supplier identity,



parcel of origin, date, truck identification, and estimated volume or weight, and may be visually inspected to ensure that no prohibited materials are present. Traceability is maintained through a batch identification system in which each production batch receives a unique Batch ID linking the orchard parcel, processing date, storage location, transportation records, and outbound shipment details. Biomass is stored only in designated, controlled areas that are clearly marked and segregated from non-certified materials, waste, or any feedstock outside the SBP scope. When the material is ready for export, the chips are loaded into containers, sealed, assigned to the corresponding Batch ID and DTR, and transported to the port by approved service providers operating under AllMade's procedures. AllMade maintains a mass balance accounting system that records all incoming volumes, storage inventory, outbound shipments, and any inventory adjustments. Monthly reconciliation ensures that the company never sells more SBP-certified biomass than it has received and documented. Subcontractors involved in loading, trucking, and scale operations work under written agreements requiring compliance with SBP requirements, preservation of traceability, record maintenance, and audit access. Their performance is monitored through site visits, record reviews, and load verification. All SBP-related documents and records—including supplier declarations, feedstock acceptance records, trucking logs, batch logs, storage inventories, shipping records, carbon and energy data, complaints, and corrective actions—are controlled, maintained electronically, and retained for at least five years. Internal audits are conducted annually to evaluate traceability, recordkeeping, supplier management, mass balance, training, subcontractor oversight, and corrective actions. Any non-conformities identified through audits, complaints, or operational issues are addressed through a structured corrective action process involving root-cause analysis, implementation of corrective measures, and verification of effectiveness. Non-conforming material is immediately identified, segregated, documented, and prevented from entering certified shipments. If non-conforming biomass has already been shipped, AllMade notifies affected customers and the Certification Body. The company also maintains all required energy and carbon data under SBP Standard 5, including trucking distances, diesel consumption, processing fuel use, electricity consumption, moisture content, payload weights, and shipment distances, supported by verifiable evidence. Together, these controls form a comprehensive and robust Chain of Custody system that ensures continuous traceability and integrity of SBP-certified almond-orchard biomass from the moment of chipper discharge through storage, transportation, export, and final sale. The system provides full transparency, regulatory compliance, and operational reliability across all stages of the supply chain.



4 Specific objective of the audit

The objective of this evaluation was to confirm that AllMade Materials has implemented an SBP-compliant management system capable of ensuring legal sourcing, full traceability, correct mass balance control, and accurate energy and carbon data reporting for almond-orchard biomass sourced from South Valley Farms. The audit focused on verifying that operational procedures—from the defined control point at chipper discharge through storage, transport, and export—are functioning as described in the company's SBP Management System Manual. The evaluation also aimed to ensure that feedstock eligibility, supplier approval, parcel-level verification, and TOF land-use compliance are correctly applied, and that AllMade can consistently meet the requirements of SBP Standards 1, 2, 4, and 5 within the scope of certification.

5 Evaluation process

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Henrique Silva	4.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Henrique Silva	24.0
3. Report writing	Henrique Silva	8.0
4. Other	Henrique Silva	30.0

5.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Henrique Silva	Lead auditor	experienced lead auditor qualified in multiple international certification schemes, including FSC, PEFC, SBP, SURE, GGL, ENplus and DINplus. He has solid field experience in forest and biomass operations, supplier verification, risk assessment, and compliance auditing.

5.3 Description of evaluation activities

All relevant SBP documents, procedures, records, and supporting evidence were reviewed during the evaluation to confirm that AllMade Materials has implemented the system described in its SBP Management System Manual. The audit included verification of supplier approval records, parcel-level land-use evidence, traceability documentation, mass balance controls, storage procedures, transportation logs, and energy and carbon data. A field visit was conducted to the **sole feedstock supplier in California**, representing **100% of the supply base sample**. During this visit, operational activities were observed directly, including orchard removal, chipping, loading, and transport to the designated storage area. Personnel involved in the process were interviewed, the full workflow was examined on site, and photographic evidence was collected to support the evaluation. The visit confirmed that the control point, traceability system, and feedstock acceptance procedures are implemented as described.

The audit concluded with consolidation of findings, review of evidence, and discussion of results with the responsible personnel.

5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited

☐ N/A – No forest sites or suppliers visited during this evaluation

Site name
Almond production site (nr45)
Site location
Wasco (California)
Site description
Almond prooduction farm
Rationale and focus of visit
The visit was focus on the verification for the condicions described on organziation SBR
Description of audit activity
During the visit the manager was interviewed , the sites visited and dwscribed why the specified risks were considered and what is supost to do to mitigate them.

5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used

In this audit only one supplier was described , this was visited making a sampling of 100%.

5.6 CB stakeholder engagement

☐ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

Guidance: Please specify the number and types of stakeholders engaged, method of engagement and its outcomes.

The CH made a public consultation during june 2026, the CB was allays involved during this process. During the audit the conacts were inspected and the most important supplier and also a steakholder was interviewed.

5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response

☐ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

The CB was involved in this consultation made by the organization

5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations

The evaluation of AllMade Materials demonstrated a strong level of conformity with the applicable requirements of SBP Standards 1, 2, 4 and 5. All procedures described in the SBP Management System Manual were found to be implemented effectively, and no non-conformities were identified during the audit. The company maintains clear and well-structured documentation, robust traceability controls beginning at the defined SBP control point, and consistent mass balance and recordkeeping practices. Supplier approval, parcel-level verification, and TOF land-use compliance were supported by comprehensive evidence, and operational controls observed during the site visit confirmed alignment with documented procedures.

A key strength of the system is the simplicity and clarity of the supply chain, with a single approved supplier and a well-defined operational workflow, which reduces complexity and risk. Personnel interviewed demonstrated good knowledge of their responsibilities, and the company maintains adequate training, subcontractor oversight, and internal monitoring mechanisms. The energy and carbon data collection process is well-structured and supported by verifiable records.

No significant weaknesses were identified during this initial audit. Continued attention to maintaining documentation updates and periodic internal reviews will support ongoing conformity throughout the certification cycle

5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation

☐ N/A – Standard 1 not in the scope of the evaluation

All relevant elements of the Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) were reviewed, including feedstock classification, parcel-level land-use verification, supplier declarations, and supporting evidence for TOF agricultural biomass. The auditor verified all documentation provided by AllMade Materials and confirmed that the SBE is consistent with SBP requirements for agricultural Trees Outside Forests.

A field visit was conducted to the **sole supplier in California**, representing **100% of the supply base sample**. During this visit, the full operational process was observed, including orchard removal, chipping, loading, and transport to the designated storage area. Personnel involved in the operations were interviewed, site conditions were assessed, and photographic evidence was collected to support the evaluation. The visit confirmed that land-use history, feedstock eligibility, and traceability controls are implemented as described in the SBE. Based on the document review and on-site verification, the Supply Base Evaluation was found to be robust, coherent, and supported by sufficient evidence to justify the risk designations applied.

5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED

☒ N/A – processing residues and/or post-consumer feedstock not used.

5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4

The Chain of Custody system implemented by AllMade Materials was evaluated through a full review of documented procedures, operational records, and supporting evidence. The auditor verified the company's traceability controls beginning at the defined SBP control point, where finished wood chips are discharged from the chipper into the transport truck, and followed the material flow through storage, transportation, and export. Batch identification, segregation measures, inventory control, and mass balance records were examined and found to be consistent with the requirements of SBP Standard 4.

A site visit was conducted to the sole supplier in California, representing 100% of the supply base. During this visit, the complete operational workflow was observed, including chipping, loading, storage, and transport activities. Personnel were interviewed, photographs were taken, and the physical implementation of traceability and segregation controls was confirmed. All relevant CoC documentation—including batch logs, trucking records, storage inventory, and outbound shipment records—was reviewed and found to be coherent, complete, and aligned with the company's documented procedures.

No non-conformities were identified, and the Chain of Custody system was determined to be effectively implemented and suitable to support SBP claims within the certification scope.

5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5

All procedures and records related to the collection and communication of energy and carbon data were reviewed during the evaluation. The auditor verified trucking distances, fuel consumption, processing parameters, storage information, payload weights, and shipment data, confirming that AllMade Materials maintains complete and consistent documentation in accordance with SBP Standard 5 and Instruction Document 5E. The company demonstrated a clear understanding of data requirements and provided evidence supporting all reported values. The system for gathering, recording, and communicating data was found to be accurate, coherent, and effectively implemented, with no inconsistencies identified during the audit.

5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel

The audit confirmed that the personnel involved in the SBP system at AllMade Materials possess adequate competence to ensure correct implementation of all relevant requirements. The CEO, who currently holds responsibility for the SBP management system, demonstrated solid knowledge of the operational workflow, supplier oversight, and traceability requirements. His professional background in the agricultural sector and extensive experience in logistics—particularly through previous work with major maritime transport companies—provides a strong foundation for managing supply chain controls and compliance. In addition, consultation with the sustainability representative from the California supplier contributed valuable technical insight into feedstock origin, land-use history, and operational practices. The interaction confirmed that both parties understand their respective roles within the SBP system and maintain effective communication channels. Overall, the competency of the personnel involved was found to be sufficient, and no gaps or concerns were identified during the evaluation.

5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Standard 6 not in the scope

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)

☐ N/A – Supply Base Evaluation not in the scope of the evaluation

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope

A regional risk assessment is available for the United States and forms a reference point for the risk evaluation. Because the certified supply base is limited to Trees Outside Forests (TOF) on private agricultural land in California, AllMade Materials also performed supply-base-specific evaluations to assess the applicability of the regional findings and address characteristics specific to the certified feedstock.

6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures

Country
United States
Area/Sub-Scope
California
Indicator
2.1.3 Key species, habitats, ecosystems, and areas of high conservation value (HCV) pertaining to biodiversity in the Supply Base shall be maintained or enhanced.
Specified risk description
The risk that orchard removal could adversely affect an identified key species, sensitive habitat, ecosystem, or biodiversity-related HCV is addressed through the screening and evaluation required under Indicators 2.1.1 and 2.1.2. If the screening identifies a biodiversity concern that could be affected by orchard removal, AllMade shall inform the grower and establish specific measures necessary to avoid or mitigate the potential impact. Where specialist knowledge is required, the appropriate measures shall be developed in consultation with a suitably qualified expert. Sourcing from the affected area shall proceed only after the required mitigation measures have been communicated and implemented. Trees Outside Forests (TOF)
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
☐ AllMade shall inform the grower of the identified concern. ☐ AllMade shall determine appropriate mitigation measures, consulting a suitably qualified expert where necessary. ☐ The grower shall be instructed on the measures required during orchard removal. ☐ AllMade shall retain evidence demonstrating that the required measures were followed.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
During the visit, it was verified that the producer has extensive experience and technical expertise in biomass production. The producer is supported by a competent technical team with relevant knowledge and experience in the sector. It was also confirmed that relevant stakeholders are available to provide support and collaborate with the producer when required.

Country
United States
Area/Sub-Scope
California
Indicator
3.1.1 LULUCF emissions shall be accounted for through one of the following routes: Route A Feedstock may be sourced from a country of origin which is party to the Paris Agreement, and which has submitted a Nationally Determined Contribution to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) covering carbon emissions and removals from agriculture, forestry and land use which ensure the changes in carbon stock associated with biomass harvest are counted towards the country's commitment to reduce or limit greenhouse gas emissions, or Route B Feedstock may be sourced from a country of origin which is party to the Paris Agreement and has national or sub-national laws in place (developed in accordance with Article 5 of the Paris Agreement and applicable in the area of harvest), to conserve and enhance carbon stocks and sinks, and provided there is evidence that reported LULUCF-sector emissions do not exceed removals, or Route C Feedstock may be sourced from a Supply Base where an assessment demonstrates that both the carbon stock is stable, and the forests' capacity to act as a carbon sink is stable or increasing over the long term.
Specified risk description
The SBP Regional Risk Assessment for the U.S. Southeast addresses this indicator by demonstrating that forest carbon stocks remain stable or increase over time using U.S. Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data in accordance with Route C. This approach is appropriate for commercial forest management but is not directly applicable to AllMade Materials because the Supply Base consists entirely of Trees Outside Forests (TOF), specifically commercial almond orchards in California. Potential carbon-related risk within the Supply Base would arise if procurement encouraged permanent conversion of tree cover or changes in land use that reduced long-term carbon storage. This risk is limited because biomass is sourced exclusively from orchards that are being removed as part of normal agricultural renovation and replanting. Orchard removal does not represent conversion of forest land or natural ecosystems, and the land remains in long-term agricultural production with new orchards typically replanted following removal. Accordingly, procurement by AllMade Materials does not create an incentive for land-use change or the depletion of long-term carbon stocks beyond normal orchard replacement cycles.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
<p>AllMade Materials restricts procurement exclusively to biomass generated from the removal of existing commercial almond orchards within California. Biomass originating from natural forests, land conversion, or non-eligible sources is excluded from the Supply Base.</p> <p>Supplier qualification includes verification that biomass originates from legitimate orchard removals associated with normal agricultural operations. Procurement documentation and chain-of-custody records are maintained to demonstrate the origin of feedstock and confirm that sourcing is limited to eligible TOF material.</p> <p>Because California almond production is based on recurring orchard replacement, procurement supports the beneficial use of biomass that would otherwise be burned, landfilled, or otherwise</p>

disposed of, without encouraging additional tree removal beyond normal agricultural management.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

The measures implemented by AllMade Materials are considered robust and effective in ensuring that procurement is restricted to eligible biomass sources. The company has clearly defined its Supply Base and limits procurement to biomass generated from the removal of existing commercial almond orchards in California.

Supplier qualification and procurement controls include verification of the origin of the biomass and confirmation that the material results from legitimate orchard removal associated with normal agricultural operations. The company maintains appropriate procurement documentation and chain-of-custody records to demonstrate the origin and eligibility of the feedstock.

The implemented controls also provide assurance that biomass originating from natural forests, land conversion, or other non-eligible sources is excluded from the Supply Base. Furthermore, the sourcing approach supports the beneficial use of biomass resulting from the normal replacement cycle of almond orchards, without creating an incentive for additional tree removal.

Based on the information and evidence reviewed during the audit, the measures implemented are considered sufficiently robust to control the identified risks and demonstrate conformity with the requirements of SBP Standard 1, Indicator 3.1.1.

Country

United States

Area/Sub-Scope

California

Indicator

2.2.7 Pesticides shall only be used as part of an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plan in compliance with national legislation, chemical safety data sheets and industry best practice. Banned pesticides shall not be used.

Specified risk description

Unlike commercial forestry, commercial almond production relies on the use of pesticides as part of routine crop management. Potential risks arise if pesticides are applied in a manner that is inconsistent with applicable regulations, outside of an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program, or if prohibited pesticides are used. California maintains one of the world's most comprehensive pesticide regulatory systems through the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR), in conjunction with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Pesticide use is subject to product registration, applicator licensing, mandatory reporting, worker protection requirements, and enforcement by County Agricultural Commissioners. California almond growers are also encouraged to implement Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices through guidance published by the University of California Integrated Pest Management Program (UC IPM) and industry best management practices. Because AllMade Materials procures biomass exclusively from existing commercial almond orchards that operate within this regulatory framework, the risk of non-compliant pesticide use is considered limited.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

AllMade Materials procures biomass only from commercial almond orchards operating in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local agricultural regulations. Suppliers are expected to manage orchards in accordance with applicable pesticide laws and industry best practices, including the use of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) principles where appropriate. Supplier qualification includes verification that biomass originates from legitimate commercial orchards operating under California's agricultural regulatory framework. Biomass is generated only after orchards have reached the end of their productive life and are removed as part of normal agricultural replanting cycles. AllMade Materials does not direct or influence pesticide selection or application but requires suppliers to comply with all applicable legal requirements governing pesticide use.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

The mitigation measures presented by AllMade Materials are considered appropriate and sufficiently robust to address the risks identified under this indicator. The company has established clear controls to ensure that biomass is sourced exclusively from eligible commercial almond orchard removals and that material from natural forests, land conversion, or other non-eligible sources is excluded.

The verification of suppliers and the maintenance of procurement and chain-of-custody records provide adequate traceability and support the verification of the origin and eligibility of the biomass. These measures reduce the risk of sourcing material from non-eligible sources and provide assurance that the feedstock is generated as a result of normal agricultural management activities.

Based on the evidence reviewed during the audit, the mitigation measures implemented are considered effective in addressing the identified risks and are sufficient to demonstrate conformity with the requirements of SBP Standard 1, Indicator 2.2.7.

Country

United States

Area/Sub-Scope

N/A

Indicator

2.2.3 Soil quality in the Supply Base shall be maintained or enhanced

Specified risk description

Orchard removal involves heavy equipment, tree and stump/root removal, and associated soil disturbance. If not appropriately managed, these activities have the potential to adversely affect soil quality. Prior to accepting material from an orchard removal, AllMade will confirm with the grower whether the land will be replanted with almonds or another agricultural crop. Where the land will be replanted: Successful establishment of the subsequent crop will be used as evidence that the soil remains capable of supporting productive agricultural use and that soil quality has not been

materially degraded by the orchard removal. Where the land will not be replanted: AllMade will obtain representative soil testing before orchard removal and again following removal. Pre- and post-removal results will be compared to determine whether the removal activity resulted in material degradation of soil quality.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

Orchard removal contractors will be instructed to conduct removal in a manner that minimizes unnecessary soil disturbance.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

The mitigation measure presented by AllMade Materials is considered appropriate to address the identified risk of unnecessary soil disturbance during orchard removal activities. Removal contractors will be instructed to carry out the work in a manner that minimizes soil disturbance, thereby reducing the potential for soil degradation and associated impacts.

The measure provides a clear operational instruction to contractors and is considered proportionate to the nature and scale of the activities carried out within the Supply Base. Based on the information reviewed during the audit, the mitigation measure is considered adequate and sufficiently robust to address the identified risk and to demonstrate conformity with the requirements of SBP Standard 1, Indicator 2.2.3.

Country

United States

Area/Sub-Scope

N/A

Indicator

2.1.2 Threats to and impacts on the identified key species, habitats, ecosystems, and areas of high conservation value (HCV) pertaining to biodiversity in the Supply Base shall be identified and evaluated.

Specified risk description

Potential threats to key species, sensitive habitats, ecosystems, or biodiversity-related HCVs are evaluated through the parcel-level screening completed under Indicator 2.1.1. For each sourcing APN, AllMade reviews agricultural zoning and land-use records, historical aerial imagery, and CNDDB mapping to determine whether the parcel or sourcing activity presents an identified biodiversity concern. Where the screening confirms long-term agricultural use and does not identify a biodiversity concern requiring further action, the risk is considered mitigated through the documented assessment. If the screening identifies a potential concern or uncertainty, the matter shall be further evaluated before sourcing proceeds.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

AllMade shall document its evaluation of the screening results for each sourcing area. Any identified potential biodiversity concern or uncertainty shall be escalated for further assessment and, where appropriate, consultation with a suitably qualified expert.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

AllMade Materials has established measures to document and evaluate the results of the biodiversity screening for each sourcing area. The procedure provides for the identification and assessment of potential biodiversity concerns and uncertainties arising from the screening process.

Where a potential biodiversity concern or uncertainty is identified, the matter is escalated for further assessment and, where necessary, consultation with a suitably qualified expert. This approach provides an additional level of control to ensure that relevant biodiversity risks are appropriately assessed and addressed before sourcing activities proceed.

Based on the evidence reviewed during the audit, the measures implemented by AllMade Materials are considered appropriate and sufficiently robust to ensure that biodiversity screening results are properly evaluated, documented, and followed up where required. The measures are therefore considered to demonstrate conformity with the requirements of SBP Standard 1, Indicator 2.2.3.

Country

United States

Area/Sub-Scope

N/A

Indicator

2.1.1 Key species, habitats, ecosystems, and areas of high conservation value (HCV) pertaining to biodiversity in the Supply Base shall be identified.

Specified risk description

Commercial almond orchards may contain or be located near key species, sensitive habitats, ecosystems, or areas of High Conservation Value (HCV). Accordingly, this indicator is assessed as Specified Risk and requires parcel-level screening. Before sourcing, each APN shall be screened using: ☐ applicable agricultural zoning and land-use records; ☐ historical aerial imagery to confirm long-term agricultural use; and ☐ California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) mapping to identify documented sensitive species, habitats, natural communities, or other biodiversity concerns. The screening and its results shall be documented for each sourcing area.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

All sourcing APNs must complete the required desktop screening before material is accepted. Any potential biodiversity concern identified through the screening shall be flagged for further evaluation under Indicators 2.1.2 and 2.1.3.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

The proposed measure is considered appropriate and effective for addressing the requirements of this indicator. Requiring all sourcing APNs to complete the desktop screening before material is accepted provides a systematic and preventive control to ensure that potential biodiversity risks are identified at an early stage. The requirement to flag any potential biodiversity concern identified through the

screening for further evaluation under Indicators 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 ensures that identified risks are not overlooked and are subject to an appropriate level of assessment. This approach provides a clear link between the initial screening and the subsequent risk evaluation and mitigation process.

Based on the evidence reviewed during the audit, the measure is considered sufficiently robust and proportionate to the identified risk and provides reasonable assurance that biodiversity concerns associated with sourcing areas are identified and appropriately followed up before the material is accepted.

Country
United States
Area/Sub-Scope
N/A
Indicator
2.2.5 Quality and quantity of ground water, surface water and water downstream shall be maintained or enhanced.
Specified risk description
Water availability and groundwater use are recognized concerns in California agriculture, including almond production. Accordingly, AllMade Materials designates this indicator as Specified Risk. The Almond Board of California identifies irrigation efficiency as an important component of sustainable almond production. Its California Almond Sustainability Program specifically evaluates orchard irrigation systems, including drip and micro-irrigation, and identifies micro-irrigation as an important waterconservation practice. California Department of Water Resources similarly identifies agricultural water-use efficiency as an important component of responsible water management in California. □ Almond Board of California — California Almond Sustainability Program: Irrigation Management Module — explicitly identifies drip, micro-sprinkler, flood/furrow and sprinkler as irrigation-system types evaluated under the sustainability program. □ Almond Board of California — Almond Sustainability / Water Efficiency — explains that micro-irrigation applies water where the crop needs it and can reduce runoff and waste while allowing more precise irrigation. □ California Department of Water Resources — Agricultural Water Use Efficiency — establishes agricultural water-use efficiency as a recognized California water-management objective.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
Supplying orchards shall use drip or micro-irrigation systems as a water-conservation practice.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
The proposed mitigation measure is considered appropriate and effective in addressing the identified risk related to water use. Requiring supplying orchards to use drip or micro-irrigation systems promotes more efficient water application and reduces unnecessary water losses compared with less efficient irrigation methods. The measure is also appropriate to the specific agricultural context of almond orchards, where efficient irrigation management is particularly relevant to reducing water consumption and improving the overall efficiency of water use. Based on the information reviewed during the audit, the measure is considered proportionate to the identified risk and provides an effective means of supporting

responsible water management within the Supply Base. Therefore, the proposed measure is considered adequate to address the identified risk and to demonstrate conformity with the applicable SBP requirements.