



Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Preferred by Nature OÜ
Evaluation of
2Valorise Ham

NCR-verification audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org





Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.0

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 2.0

Published 10 August 2023

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2023

Table of contents

1 Overview	3
2 Audit conclusions	4
2.1 Certification decision	4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations	4
2.3 Closed non-conformities	5
2.4 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation	5
2.5 Notes for the next Audit	5
3 Specific objective of the audit	6
4 Evaluation process	7
4.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities	7
4.2 Auditor team qualification	7
4.3 Description of evaluation activities	7

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	Preferred by Nature OÜ
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Pilar Gorria Serrano
Primary CB contact email:	pgorria@preferredbynature.org
Audit team leader:	Mikhail Rai
Audit team members:	ERROR: Works only for SBR or PSR form. Please alter the function by adding more tables, or by dynamic sql
Name of the Company:	2Valorise Ham
Company legal address:	De Snep 3324, 3945 Ham, Belgium
Company contact for SBP:	Marilyne Brodel
Company contact email:	marilyne.brodel@2valorise.be
Company website:	
Date of installation:	
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-10-67
Date of certificate issue:	12 Apr 2024
Date of certificate expiry:	11 Apr 2029
Audit closing meeting date:	10 Aug 2026
Audit cycle:	NCR-verification audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:

Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Pilar Gorria
Date of decision:	07 Sep 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

NC number 9671		NC Grading: Minor
Standard:	Instruction Document 6B: Biomass Compliance for Flanders. v1.1	
Requirement:	7.7.8 Characteristic 14. Sustainable forest management N/A N/A N/A	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
NCR against ID 6B: Biomass Compliance for Flanders, v1.2 The Organization declared compliance with Characteristic 14 and 15; however, sufficient evidence to demonstrate compliance with all applicable conditions was not available for all countries of origin. The evaluated biomass was supplied as SBP-controlled feedstock and therefore met the applicable condition related to SBP status. The Biomass Producer additionally maintains PEFC Controlled Sources certification and developed risk assessments covering the applicable sourcing countries. However, PEFC Controlled Sources does not constitute evidence of sustainable forest management certification for feedstock originating from non-certified forest areas. For the applicable Level A/Level B route, the information available at the time of the original evaluation covered France, Germany and the Walloon Region of Belgium. Additional EU RED-related Level B evaluations covering Belgium, France and Germany were available at the time of the current evaluation. For Luxembourg and the Netherlands, the Organization provided its own risk analysis concluding low/negligible risk; however, no applicable Level A or Level B evaluation was provided. Therefore, the “Yes” declaration for Characteristics 14 and 15 was not fully substantiated for all countries of origin. The evaluation nevertheless confirmed that the Biomass Producer maintained sourcing-level controls including identification of feedstock origin and sourcing areas, supplier and delivery verification, geolocation information, GIS-based screening and risk-based field verification. Implementation of these controls had additionally been evaluated through previous verification activities. No evidence indicating non-compliance of the sampled biomass with the applicable sustainable forest management criteria was identified. The identified gap relates to the completeness of evidence supporting the declaration rather than an identified failure of the biomass to meet the applicable sustainability criteria. Taking into account the sourcing-level controls implemented by the Biomass Producer, and the gap is not a fundamental or systematic failure of the evaluated system, a minor NCR has been raised.		
Timeline for Conformance:	Other	
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	N/A	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	N/A	
NC Status:	Open	

2.3 Closed non-conformities

NC number 9621 copied from 9006		NC Grading: Major
Standard:	Instruction Document 6B: Biomass Compliance for Flanders. v1.1	
Requirement:	7.6.2 Characteristic 6. Wood that is not an industrial raw material N/A N/A	
Description of Non-conformance and Related Evidence:		
Timeline for Conformance:	3 months from the report finalisation	
Evidence Provided by Company to close NC:	Decision of the Flemish Government on the acceptability of energy generated from wood streams for green electricity certificates for the production installation "BMS-0074 2Valorise Ham"	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	The Organization provided the applicable decision issued by the Flemish Government. The information provided on pages 6–7 of the decision was evaluated and confirmed to address requirements A and B under indicator 3.6.2 and to substantiate the declaration for the applicable primary feedstock. The previously missing evidence was therefore provided and the declaration reflected within the corresponding biomass reports was confirmed. The major NCR is considered closed.	
NC Status:	Closed	

2.4 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

No actions taken

2.5 Notes for the next Audit

No additional notes

3 Specific objective of the audit

The specific objective of this evaluation was to verify closure of the major non-conformity raised during the previous evaluation and to complete the evaluation of the biomass reports related to primary feedstock against the applicable requirements of SBP Instruction Document 6B Version 1.2.

4 Evaluation process

4.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Mikhail Rai	1.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Mikhail Rai	4.0
3. Report writing	Mikhail Rai	6.0

4.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Mikhail Rai	Audit Team Leader	Preferred by Nature international auditor and a technical lead specializing in SBP and SURE certification schemes, with expertise in biomass certification and quality review. Mikhail holds BSc in Forestry and MSc in Hospitality (Sustainable Tourism). He has experience with more than 200 evaluations against various schemes (SBP, PEFC, FSC, SURE, LegalSource, ISO) worldwide.

4.3 Description of evaluation activities

The NCR verification audit was conducted on 10 August 2026 and focused on verification of evidence provided to address the major non-conformity and completion of the evaluation of biomass reports related to primary feedstock. Documentation provided by the Organization in advance of the audit was reviewed and subsequently verified during the evaluation together with the supporting records and explanations provided by the Organization.

The applicable mobile chipping SARs and corresponding biomass reports for both reporting periods were evaluated. For each SDI represented within the mobile chipping SARs, one delivery and corresponding sourcing area were randomly selected for verification. The Organization provided supporting analysis for the selected sourcing areas, including geolocation information, historical and recent satellite imagery and information from applicable GIS, land-use and topographical databases. Feedstock classification, applicable sustainability characteristics and consistency of information transferred from the SARs into the biomass reports were additionally evaluated.

Evidence provided to address the major non-conformity was evaluated, including the applicable decision issued by the Flemish Government. The evidence was confirmed to substantiate the applicable declaration for primary feedstock and the major non-conformity was closed.