



Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Control Union Certifications BV
Evaluation of Engie Energy Management
SA/NV

Scope Change Audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org





Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.1 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 2.0	Published 10 August 2023
Version 2.1	Published 21 May 2025

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025

Table of contents

1 Overview	3
2 Audit conclusions	4
2.1 Certification decision	4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations	4
2.2 Closed non-conformities	5
2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation	5
2.4 Notes for the next Audit	5
3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate	6
3.1 Description of the company	7
3.2 Site details	7
3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups	7
4 Specific objective of the audit	8
5 Evaluation process	9
5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities	9
5.2 Auditor team qualification	9
5.3 Description of evaluation activities	9
5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited	9
5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used	10
5.6 CB stakeholder engagement	10
5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response	10
5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations	10
5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation	10
5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED	10
5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4	10
5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5	10
5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel	11
5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)	11
6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)	12
6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope	12
6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures	12

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	Control Union Certifications BV
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Lukasz Dabrowski
Primary CB contact email:	ldabrowski@controlunion.com
Audit team leader:	Adam Horvath
Audit team members:	NA
Name of the Company:	Engie Energy Management SA/NV
Company legal address:	Boulevard Simon Bolivar 36, 1000 Brussels, Belgium
Company contact for SBP:	Toon Bosmans
Company contact email:	toon.bosmans@engie.com
Company website:	www.engie.com
Date of installation:	
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-06-14
Date of certificate issue:	08 Mar 2023
Date of certificate expiry:	07 Mar 2028
Audit closing meeting date:	03 Jun 2026
Audit cycle:	Scope Change Audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:

Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Robin Rosendahl
Date of decision:	11 Jun 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

2.2 Closed non-conformities

2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

NA

2.4 Notes for the next Audit

NA

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate

Scope item	Check all that apply to the Certificate scope
Primary activity	Trader
Secondary activity	
Approved Standards	Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon data. v2.1; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0; Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 v2.0; SBP Standard 6: Energy and Carbon Balance Calculation v2.0; Instruction Document 6D: Methodology for the Calculation and Certification of GHG Emissions Savings for EU RED v2.0
Product Group IDs	☒ Forest feedstock (1A) ☒ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Urban and landscape feedstock (2A) ☒ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Agricultural land feedstock (3A) ☒ Processing residues feedstock (4A) ☒ Post-consumer feedstock (5A) ☐ Other (please specify)
SBP Feedstock types	☒ SBP Compliant; ☒ SBP Controlled
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Includes EU RED Scheme	Yes
Includes EU RED Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	No
Products	WB 1.1 Wood pellets, WB 2.1 Wood chips
Feedstock origin (countries):	
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used:	Not applicable
Public link: https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	
Chain of custody system	☒ Physical separation ☒ Mass balance
Outsourcing	Yes
Changes in the scope	Engie added Standard 6 to their scope to be able to calculate GHG emissions.

3.1 Description of the company

Guidance: Please describe the type, structure and operations of the company including its role in the supply chain, its inputs and outputs as they related to SBP Standards.

Engie Energy Management SA/NV, based in Belgium, is a prominent player in the global energy sector, specializing in the trading of biomass. Currently, the company focuses on delivering significant volumes by train to its client CPCU in Paris. As part of a global energy group, Engie Energy Management ensures efficient fuel distribution to both Engie-owned and third-party clients, with deliveries primarily occurring via train and truck to support sustainable energy solutions. The company is FSC certified (License Code FSC-C129156, Certificate Code CU-COC-833147, Controlled Wood Code CU-CW-833147).

3.2 Site details

Legal entity name	Address	Site Activity	Visited during the audit
Engie Energy Management SA/NV	Boulevard Simon Bolivar 36, 1000 Brussels, Belgium	Trader	☒

3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups

Guidance: Please describe the operation of the CoC system including details of the mass balance management and a list of established Product Groups.

The company holds a valid FSC Chain of Custody certificate (CU-COC-833147, CU-CW-833147 and FSC License Code FSC-C129156) and PEFC Chain of Custody certificate CU-PEFC-COC-847063 and PEFC logo license PEFC/07-31-391. Their CoC procedures are the basis for their SBP CoC system. They trade back-to-back, where the sustainability characteristics will stay linked to the shipment and are noted in their transfer system registration balance. The outgoing claim will be the same as the incoming claim, having the same sustainability characteristics (or without SBP claim in case the buyer is not SBP certified and part of DTS). The GHG for handling and shipping while the material is in their possession will be noted in their SREG. Communication and passing information in the chain will be done with the use of the DTS system.

4 Specific objective of the audit

The specific objective of this scope change evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Trader's management system is capable S6 and ID6D that all requirements of the specified SBP Standards are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

5 Evaluation process

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	Auditors	Auditor hours
1. Preparation	Adam Horvath	2.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Adam Horvath	4.0
3. Report writing	Adam Horvath	2.0

5.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	Role	Qualification
Adam Horvath	Lead Auditor	CUC-approved Chain of Custody Lead Auditor with 5 years of auditing experience and studied Industrial Engineering & Management. Specialized in biomass sustainability and supply chain compliance. Certified in: ISO 9001:2015, FSC® CoC, PEFC CoC, SBP, GGL

5.3 Description of evaluation activities

The audit was performed on 3rd of June 2026. The audit has been performed by review of documents related to RED, SBP requirements, interviews, and reviewing systems. This report is the result of the findings of a certification evaluation carried out by an independent lead auditor representing Control Union Certifications. The purpose of the assessment was to evaluate the compliance of the client with respect to the standards used within the scope of the certificate.

CCP's identified:

Front Office Contracting Phase: purchase and sales trades are made. Evaluation included: Checking of Valid certificates in case of SBP, Procedures in place. Training done. Interviews confirm understanding of the system.

Front Office: Putting purchase and sales into the system: correctly input of all requirements in the system for operations to know what to expect. Evaluation included: Procedures in place. Training done. Interviews confirm understanding of the system. Checked and confirmed by the liability officer prior to the execution phase.

Operations: Execution phase: receiving of the material and all accompanying documents. Evaluation included: Filling out DTS system and adding SREG information and collecting and sharing of SAR and SREG. Procedures in place. Training done. Interviews confirm understanding of the system.

Invoicing: Final invoices sent. (Logo's or claims) Evaluation included: Procedures in place. Training of the supervisor is done (and she trained her staff). Interviews confirm understanding of the system.

5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited

- ☒ N/A – No forest sites or suppliers visited during this evaluation
NA as CH is Trader

5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used

A risk-based sampling approach was applied in accordance with SBP Standard 6. Representative samples of energy, feedstock, production, and carbon data records were selected and verified for accuracy, completeness, consistency, and compliance with SBP requirements. The sample results were evaluated to determine overall conformity with the standard.

5.6 CB stakeholder engagement

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

Guidance: Please specify the number and types of stakeholders engaged, method of engagement and its outcomes.

5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations

The audit was conducted in an open and positive environment. The company was well prepared for the audit, both in knowledge as in its manual and management systems. Also, the company is involved in the development of the SBP standard. The depth procedures and record keeping are thought to be sufficient for the size and complexity of the company.

5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation

☒ N/A – Standard 1 not in the scope of the evaluation

5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED

☒ N/A – processing residues and/or post-consumer feedstock not used.

5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4

The company consists of a biofuel trading desk, which has the main responsibility related to the SBP system. During the audit, the auditee showed a clear and in-depth understanding of SBP, its procedures, and the proper execution of those. They are also involved in the technical committee creating and further developing the SBP standards. Training of staff in FSC and SBP is being done each year, additionally for the back office.

5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5

The company has in-depth procedures for this. The company uses DTS for the communication of data. Their addition to GHG while the biomass is in their possession. For this, they use distance figures from Axsmarine from their shipping department.

5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel

The company consists of a biofuel trading desk, which has the main responsibility related to the SBP system. During the audit, the auditee showed a clear and in-depth understanding of SBP, its procedures, and the proper execution of those. They are also involved in the technical committee creating and further developing the SBP standards.

5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)

The GHG methodology and associated calculations were reviewed and found to be in conformity with the requirements of SBP Standard 6. No non-conformities, observations, or findings were identified during the audit

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Supply Base Evaluation not in the scope of the evaluation

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope

N/A

6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures