Compliance with the SBP Framework: Public Summary Report

Preferred by Nature OÜ
Evaluation of AN VIET PHAT TAY SON ENERGY CO., LTD

First Surveillance Audit

Sustainable Biomass Program
sbp-cert.org



Completed in accordance with the CB Public Summary Report Template Version 2.1 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see **www.sbp-cert.org**

Document history

Version 2.0	**Published 10 August 2023**
Version 2.1	Published 21 May 2025

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025

Table of contents

1 Overview **3**

2 Audit conclusions **4**

2.1 Certification decision 4
2.2 Open non-conformities and observations 4
2.2 Closed non-conformities 5
2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation 5
2.4 Notes for the next Audit 5

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate **6**

3.1 Description of the company 7
3.2 Site details 7
3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups 7

4 Specific objective of the audit **8**

5 Evaluation process **9**

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities 9
5.2 Auditor team qualification 9
5.3 Description of evaluation activities 9
5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited 10
5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used 13
5.6 CB stakeholder engagement 14
5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response 14
5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations 14
5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation 14
5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED 15
5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4 15
5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5 15
5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel 15
5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable) 15

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable) **16**

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope 16
6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures 16

1 Overview

Certification Body (CB) Name:	Preferred by Nature OÜ
Primary CB contact for SBP:	Pilar Gorria Serrano
Primary CB contact email:	pgorria@preferredbynature.org
Audit team leader:	Ai Pham Thi Ngoc
Audit team members:	Ho Van Cu
Name of the Company:	AN VIET PHAT TAY SON ENERGY CO., LTD
Company legal address:	Tay Xuan Industrial Cluster, Tay Son Commune, 55821 Gia Lai Province, Viet Nam
Company contact for SBP:	Uoc Cao Xuan
Company contact email:	anvietphattayson@gmail.com
Company website:	N/A
Date of installation:	31 Aug 2025
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-13-83
Date of certificate issue:	12 Dec 2025
Date of certificate expiry:	11 Dec 2030
Audit closing meeting date:	28 Jul 2026
Audit cycle:	First Surveillance Audit

2 Audit conclusions

2.1 Certification decision

Based on the auditor's recommendation and the Certification Body's technical review, the following certification decision is taken:	
Certification decision:	Certification approved
Certification decision by (name of the person):	Mikhail Rai
Date of decision:	01 Oct 2026
Other comments:	N/A

2.2 Open non-conformities and observations

2.2 Closed non-conformities

2.3 Actions taken by Organisation Prior to Report Finalisation

N/A

2.4 Notes for the next Audit

N/A

3 Scope of the audit and SBP certificate

Scope item	Check all that apply to the Certificate scope
Primary activity	Biomass Producer
Secondary activity	Trader
Approved Standards	SBP Standard 1: Feedstock Compliance v2.0; SBP Standard 2: Feedstock Verification v2.0; SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0; SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0; Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon data. v2.1; Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413; Instruction Document Japan: Bridging Requirements for meeting Japanese sustainability, legality and GHG saving requirements v1.1 -
Product Group IDs	☒ Forest feedstock (1A) ☐ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Urban and landscape feedstock (2A) ☐ Trees outside forest (TOF) - Agricultural land feedstock (3A) ☒ Processing residues feedstock (4A) ☐ Post-consumer feedstock (5A) ☐ Other (please specify)
SBP Feedstock types	☒ SBP Compliant; ☒ SBP Controlled
Includes Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	Yes
Includes EU RED Scheme	Yes
Includes EU RED Supply Base Evaluation (SBE)	Yes
Products	WB 1.1 Wood pellets, WB 2.4 Other residues from wood processing
Feedstock origin (countries):	Viet Nam
SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: **Public link:** https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/risk-assessments/	SBP-RRA-AS-VN-FOR_v1.0 RRA for Vietnam FOR_Interim
Chain of custody system	☐ Physical separation ☒ Mass balance
Outsourcing	No
Changes in the scope	Adding trading, processing residues feedstock and one storage.

3.1 Description of the company

Guidance: Please describe the type, structure and operations of the company including its role in the supply chain, its inputs and outputs as they related to SBP Standards.

AN VIET PHAT TAY SON ENERGY CO., LTD is a private enterprise established on January 3, 2019 with business license No. 4101534774. The Company specializes in wood pellet production and trading in Vietnam. An Viet Phat Tay Son is committed to sustainable energy solutions. An Viet Phat Tay Son purchases roundwood from plantations in Vietnam and processing residues from the sawmill, which are sourced from those plantations, and uses them as feedstock for pellet production and as a biofuel. Pellets could be sold from gate and Quy Nhon ports to different customers.

3.2 Site details

Legal entity name	Address	Site Activity	Visited during the audit
AN VIET PHAT TAY SON ENERGY CO., LTD	Tay Xuan Industrial Cluster, Tay Son commune, Gia Lai province, Viet Nam	Processing pellets	☒
Quy Nhon Warehouse	Lot AI-4, AI-5, Long My Industrial Park, Quy Nhon Tay Ward, Gia Lai Province Vietnam	Storage	☒

3.3 Detailed description of the Chain of Custody system and Product Groups

Guidance: Please describe the operation of the CoC system including details of the mass balance management and a list of established Product Groups.

BP purchases round logs from 145 small forest owner in Vietnam, and processing residues (shavings) from one sawmill within its defined supply base. The Chain of Custody system is based on the mass balance method with a three-month balancing period. Five Product Groups have been defined: 10 and 40. All eligible inputs are mixed after the production gate, and mass balance is subsequently applied to allocate sustainability claims to outputs. The CoC system therefore relies exclusively on SBP requirements to ensure traceability and integrity of sustainability claims. The BP intends to work with SBP-compliant biomass claims: 10 SBP-compliant, and 40 SBP-compliant. The BP will have an additional account for SBP-controlled biomass claims if required: 10 SBP-controlled, SBP-controlled 40 SBP-controlled. No downstream logistics are in scope, as the organization sells FOB at port facilities, operates strictly on a purchase-order basis, and maintains segregation throughout storage and dispatch. Although physical segregation is the primary control at the port, mass balance records are maintained in parallel to ensure full alignment with SBP traceability rules and consistent allocation of sustainability characteristics.

4 Specific objective of the audit

The specific objective of this evaluation was to confirm that the Biomass Producer's management system can ensure that all requirements of the specified SBP Standards Version 2.0, as well as the EU RED, Japan ID are implemented across the entire scope of certification.

The scope of the evaluation covered:
- Review of the BP's management procedures;
- Review of CoC system critical control points and DDS;
- Interviews with responsible staff;
- Review of the records and calculations;
- Primary energy and carbon data collection evaluation.
- Evaluate SBVs, RMMs (using SBP-RED-AS-VN-FOR_v1.1)

5 Evaluation process

5.1 Timing and duration of evaluation activities

Audit Level of Effort (LoE)		
Activity	**Auditors**	**Auditor hours**
1. Preparation	Pham Thi Ngoc Ai	4.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Pham Thi Ngoc Ai	8.0
3. Report writing	Pham Thi Ngoc Ai	8.0
4. Other	Pham Thi Ngoc Ai	8.0
1. Preparation	Ho Van Cu	2.0
2. On-site (excl. travel time)	Ho Van Cu	8.0
3. Report writing	Ho Van Cu	4.0

5.2 Auditor team qualification

Auditor qualification		
Auditor name	**Role**	**Qualification**
Pham Thi Ngoc Ai	Audit Team leader	Ai holds a Master of Arts in Development Economics and Bachelor's degree of Engineer Petrochemical and Organic Technology. Ai has qualified as the auditor for FSC CoC, SBP auditor and has years of experience in wood pellet industry
Ho Van Cu	Audit Team Member	Cu started to work with Preferred by Nature in August 2019. He has 24 years of experience in the forestry sector in Vietnam and has acted as an FSC FM/CoC auditor in many countries including Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia and China. He holds a PhD in Forestry and – before joining Preferred by Nature – worked as a Lecturer at University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam.

5.3 Description of evaluation activities

The audit was conducted on-site on 27 July 2026 & 05 August 2026. It was focused on management system evaluation: a division of the responsibilities, record keeping, input material classification (reception and registration), analysis of the existing certification management systems and CoC critical control points, energy and carbon data collection, and communication.
During the opening meeting on 27 July 2026, the audit team was introduced, the certification scope was clarified, information about the audit plan, methodology, auditor qualification, confidentiality issues, assessment

methodology, and other applicable Preferred by Nature policies and procedures was provided.
Later that day, during interviews and documentation review, all applicable requirements of the SBP and CoC standards were evaluated. During the process, the person overall responsible for the SBP system and other responsible staff were interviewed. The pellet mill facilities were visited, and observations, as well as additional interviews and document verifications, were made. SAR, Japan ID and EU RED were also verified.
Storages facilities, processing residue suppliers, small forest owners were visited and conducted a stakeholder consultation to:
- Evaluation of compliance of feedstock with the supply base and declared characteristics,
- Evaluation of compliance with the EU RED Level B Management system.
- During supplier evaluation, the implementation of control measures by BP within the CoC system was evaluated.
On the 05 August 2026, at the end of the audit, the findings were summarized, and preliminary audit conclusions based on the use of triangle evaluation method were provided to the BP's management and SBP responsible person.

5.4 List of forest management units and feedstock suppliers visited

☐ N/A – No forest sites or suppliers visited during this evaluation

Site name
Supplier 8
Site location
Gia Lai
Site description
Saw mill, processimg residue supplier
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
Legality of raw marial, ratio of processing residues

Site name
Supplier 52
Site location
Dak Lak
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity

The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 88
Site location
Dak Lak
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 112
Site location
Da Nang
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 125
Site location
Quang Tri
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED

Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 126
Site location
Thanh Hoa
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 127
Site location
Nghe An
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 128
Site location
Ha Tinh
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit

SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
Supplier 143
Site location
Dak Lak
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

Site name
FMU of Lam Di
Site location
Da Nang City
Site description
Post harvested Acacia plantation
Rationale and focus of visit
SBE and EU RED
Description of audit activity
The onsite verification involved a visual focus on the following aspects: - LURC issued before 2008 that is not related to conversion. - Harvesting practices, including stumps, roots, and site preparation without burning. - Buffer-zone management, such as watercourses and riverside areas.

5.5 Describe audit sampling methodology used

When preparing for the first surveillance and during on-site work, sampling has been implemented based on the following criteria: - full review of procedures; - full review of training records; - sample review of information related to suppliers; - a review of SAR, SBR, Master datasheet, invoices; - purchases and sales transactions confirmed that the system is effectively implemented for the current scope of activities. - interviews with staff to evaluate the theoretical and practical experience of implementation. - reviewing the SBR, RA, SBVs, RMMs, and samples, which were focused on specified risks and management system implementation issues. 1. Onsite evaluation for processing residues feedstock: Based on the list of suppliers, which

included one supplier (sawnmill), the auditor selected for a visit. One small forest owner sites (FMUs) that supplied logs to that sawmill was selected for onsite evaluation. 2. Onsite evaluation for primary feedstock: Based on the list of suppliers, which included 145 FMU (small forest owners), the auditor selected eights of them for onsite visit. Formula used 0.6 * sqrt (145) = 8. FMUs were selected for logistical reasons and to ensure a representative sample. The risk identified for the provinces within Vietnam is homogeneity

5.6 CB stakeholder engagement

☒ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

Guidance: Please specify the number and types of stakeholders engaged, method of engagement and its outcomes.

5.7 Stakeholder feedback and response

☐ N/A – Certification Body stakeholder consultation not applicable for this evaluation

The audit team conducted consultations with local authorities and forest rangers through direct interviews. The results of the interviews showed that:
- 100% of households in the area were granted land use right certificates (LURC)
- The land area was planned and used for production forest plantations
- There was no conversion from natural forest to plantation forest after 1/2008
- Forest fires occurred on a small scale and were promptly handled
- There were no serious erosion or landslides recorded in the area. Stakeholders also confirmed that BP had conducted consultations regarding the existing issues identified in the feedstock area. One key concern raised was that local farmers continue to follow traditional practices, particularly the burning of post-harvest residues. This practice remains common due to its simplicity, familiarity, and low cost, but it occurred on a small scale and was promptly handled

5.8 Main strengths and weaknesses of the management system and operations

Strengths: With the support of an external consultant from Biomass Consult in SBP, AVP managed a small group of people who will work with SBP-related activities, and the responsible staff showed good knowledge about the SBP system and how it will be implemented in the organization

5.9 Summary of evaluation activities for robustness of the Supply Base Evaluation

☐ N/A – Standard 1 not in the scope of the evaluation

- BP's SBE is based on SBP-RRA-AS-VN-FOR_v1.0-RRA-for-Vietnam-FOR_Interim - Risk assessment conclusion resulted in 15 specified risk indicators for small forest owners; BP referred to SBVs and established adequate RMMs for each indicator to reduce risk to a low level (excluded 2.2.7 and 2.2.8 indicators beacuse rubber tree is not used)
- Applicable Vietnamese laws were referred to, such as Forestry Law No. 16/2017/QH14, Land Law No. 31/2024/QH15, Labor Code No. 45/2019/QH14, Decree 156/2018/ND-CP, Circular 13/2023/TT-BNNPTNT, etc.
- Stakeholders were identified for the relevant specified risk indicators within BP's SEP

5.10 Summary of evaluation activities for Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements per Standard 2 and EU RED

- The Organization maintains a structured system for supplier verification and monitoring. Supplier inspections, document reviews, and cross-checks with the local authorities are applied consistently. Records of weight, moisture, and quality are maintained for each delivery, with verification against invoices and mass balance documentation.
- Supplier audits are conducted annually, and signed statements of understanding formalize sustainability obligations. During the audit, inspection records, audit documentation, and supplier files were reviewed.
- Visits to suppliers of processing residues confirmed that controls are implemented in practice and that feedstock characteristics are correctly classified and recorded at receipt. The system was found to function effectively, and no gaps were identified during the assessment

5.11 Summary of evaluation activities for chain of custody system per Standard 4

- BP implemented mass balance module in which input feedstock data and output biomass are kept track and equivalent. Positive balance are bring toward the next reporting period. COC system is utilized mass balance, in which CCP is controlled from weighbridge point to biomass storage. Identification signboards in place.
- Clear procedures covering requirements version 2.0 in SBP handbook, training records, internal records, purchase and sale invoices are kept and matched with the annual volume summary,
- Business integrity is documented, RED commitment is signed by Senior management, and no subcontracting activity.

- SEP is established and implemented

5.12 Summary of evaluation activities for collection and communication of data per Standard 5

The Organization compiles data on energy use, feedstocks, and transport through contributions from different departments, which are consolidated and summarized in the SAR by the responsible persons. Most values are based on actual data. In addition, certain average values were derived from sample measurements. The estimations and averages were supported by documented justification and aligned with operational records. The data set was found to be consistent and adequate to ensure reliable reporting in accordance with ID 5E.

5.13 Summary of audit findings for competency of involved personnel

The Organization has a documented management system reflected in set of documented procedures related to certification. Assigned personnel are in charge of the management system implementation and maintenance. A good awareness of the requirements was shown during interview and documentation review.

5.14 Summary of audit findings for GHG methodology per Standard 6 (if applicable)

☒ N/A – Standard 6 not in the scope

6 Detailed evaluation of BP's Supply Base Evaluation (if applicable)

☐ N/A – Supply Base Evaluation not in the scope of the evaluation

6.1 Overview of BP's risk assessments and mitigation measures including EU RED scope

- BP's SBE is based on SBP-RRA-AS-VN-FOR_v1.0-RRA-for-Vietnam-FOR_Interim - Risk assessment conclusion resulted in 13 specified risk indicators for small forest owners; BP referred to SBVs and established adequate
- RMMs for each indicator to reduce risk to a low level.
- For the indicator related to maintaining soil quality and biodiversity, the Organization implemented risk assessment and mitigation at the forest-sourcing area level (Level B).
- Applicable Vietnamese laws were referred to, such as Forestry Law No. 16/2017/QH14, Land Law No. 31/2024/QH15, Labor Code No. 45/2019/QH14, Decree 156/2018/ND-CP, Circular 13/2023/TT-BNNPTNT.
- Stakeholders were identified for the specified risk indicators relevant to BP's SEP.

6.2 CB evaluation of specified risk indicators and mitigation measures

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
1.1.1 Operations related to feedstock sourcing and biomass production shall comply with all existing applicable laws and regulations.
Specified risk description
Vietnam has adequate legal regulations covering all supply base and biomass production activities. However, the implementation of legal for some aspects is still inadequate (based on the analysis in the relevant indicator), so this indicator is considered a risk identified for all 03 sub-scopes. Specific risks are detailed in the following indicators.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Verification of the business registration certificate for the sawmill. • Authentication of the Land Use Rights Certificate (LURC) or land allocation records of the forest owner. • Stakeholder consultation on land boundary disputes • Factory gate weighbridge ticket
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. The auditor analyzed a sample of entries received in the reporting period included

Packing list (Bảng kê lâm sản in Vietnamese) purchase documents, delivery notes invoices, origin information in comply with all existing applicable laws and regulations, copy of Land Use Rights Certificated (LURC) of suppliers (small forest owner)
2. Field visits were conducted to verify on-site and consultation with local authority and forest protection dept (FPD)
3. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
1.1.2 Legal ownership of land and resource use rights shall be respected.
Specified risk description
The supply of timber raw materials in Vietnam is mainly from production forests, of which the majority of production forests have been allocated to households for management. However, with a large number of small forest owners (>1 million), the issuance of land use right certificates has yet to be fully implemented, so this indicator is considered a definite risk for small forest owners.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Verification of the business registration certificate for the sawmill. • Authentication of the Land Use Rights Certificate (LURC) or land allocation records of the forest owner. • Stakeholder consultation
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. The audit team analyzed a sample of entries received in the reporting period included Packing list (Bảng kê lâm sản in Vietnamese) purchase documents, delivery notes invoices, origin information in comply with all existing applicable laws and regulations. 2. Field visits were conducted to verify onsite 3. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
1.1.3 Feedstock shall be legally harvested, supplied and produced, including in compliance with CITES, EUTR and other applicable legal trade requirements.
Specified risk description

The primary feedstock in Vietnam is mainly sourced from planted production forests. The main species used for forest plantations include Acacia, Eucalyptus and Rubber, which are in the list of major forestry species (Circular 22/2021/TT-BNNPTNT dated 29/09/2021) and not listed under CITES. Harvesting permits are required for state-owned forest owners but not for households and individuals, while forest product inventory is mandatory for all forest owners. In practice, stateowned organizations fully comply with the requirements for approving harvesting plans and forest product inventory. Smallholders mainly sell standing trees to intermediaries or traders. Therefore, filling in the forest product inventory is delegated or assigned to intermediaries or traders. Intermediaries or traders are not allowed to fill in the forest product inventory, which does not comply with current laws (Circular 26/2022/TT-BNNPTNT and Circular 22/2023/TT-BNNPTNT). In addition, the implementation and control of forest inventory for private forest owners has yet to be fully implemented. Therefore, specific risks are identified for feedstock originating from small forest owners and private organizations related to the requirement of forest inventory.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

- Stakeholder consultation
- Factory gate weighbridge ticket
- Verify the forest product packing list
- Procurement of tree species not from CITES list

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

1. Field visits were conducted to verify on-site and consultation with local authority and forest protection dept (FPD) to confirm that the BP legally harvested, supplied and produced, including in compliance with CITES, EUTR and other applicable legal trade requirements.
2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country

Viet Nam

Area/Sub-Scope

Small forest owner

Indicator

2.2.1 Feedstock shall not be sourced from land that had one of the following statuses in January 2008 and no longer has that status due to land conversion: a. Forests b. Wetlands c. Peatlands d. Highly biodiverse grasslands.

Specified risk description

Although Vietnam has adequate legal regulations and effective mechanisms for managing forest and wetland land use, from 2006 to 2014, the conversion of depleted natural forests into plantation forests took place on a large scale legally. There are still sporadic illegal forest conversions to date. The loss of mangrove forests and peatlands has also occurred significantly. Therefore, this indicator is assessed as a specified risk.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

- Check if there was the land use right certificate issued before 2008. In this

case, check the land use purpose;
- Interviewed competent authorities and landowners across all sourcing areas.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

1. During interview with local authority they confirmed that the area was allocated for plantation since 2000, map of supply base area are available for cross-check,then mitigation is accepted.
2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
2.2.3 Soil quality in the Supply Base shall be maintained or enhanced
Specified risk description
Although Vietnam has regulations to limit the negative impacts of forestry production activities, implementing sustainable forest management plans is optional for all forest owners. The short forest planting rotation and the widespread burning of vegetation can negatively impact soil quality. Therefore, this indicator is assessed as a specified risk.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
- Traceability Document Review: Verify traceability documents including Forest Product Packing Lists and Land Use Rights Certificates - LURCs to trace the origin of the roundwood back to the specific forest level. - Supplier Training: Conduct training for sawmills on sustainable soil management practices, and require them to disseminate these instructions to their roundwood suppliers. - Field Verification : Conduct field assessments to check for the absence of widespread burning of vegetation and verify the retention of harvest residues on-site after harvesting.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. By conducting on-site visits and consultation with local authority and forest protection dept (FPD) and direct interview farmers about soil quality management, the auditor advise that the farmer applied technic of maintenance and actively enhances soil quality by: - Implement conservation tillage and cover cropping - Use integrated nutrient management (organic + inorganic) - Avoid practices that lead to erosion. 2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
2.2.4 Where the removal of harvest forest residues and/or stumps occurs, this shall not lead to irreversible negative impacts to the ecosystem.
Specified risk description
Although Vietnam has a Forestry Law regulating permitted or prohibited forestry activities and regulations on sustainable forest management, there are no effective legal regulations specifically for the treatment of post-harvest residues and the situation of uncontrolled burning of vegetation after harvesting is still ordinary, so this index is assessed as a definite risk.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Traceability Document Review: Verify traceability documents including Forest Product Packing Lists and Land Use Rights Certificates - LURCs to trace the origin of the roundwood back to the specific forest level. • Supplier Training: Conduct training for sawmills on the environmental protection measures outlined in Circular 13/2023/TT-BNNPTNT, and require them to disseminate these guidelines to their roundwood suppliers. This encourages them to voluntarily assess and minimize negative environmental impacts during forestry activities. • Field Verification : Conduct field assessments to check for the absence of widespread burning of vegetation and verify the retention of harvest residues on-site after harvesting.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. By conducting on-site visits and consultation with the local authority and the forest protection dept (FPD) and direct interviews with farmers about quality and quantity of groundwater, surface water, and water downstream shall be maintained or enhanced, the auditor recognized that to avoid harvesting of stumps and roots: No remove stumps and roots for acacia plantation, 2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
2.2.5 Quality and quantity of ground water, surface water and water downstream shall be maintained or enhanced.
Specified risk description

Vietnam has complete regulations to protect water resources in general and the forestry sector. In general, forestry activities have little impact on water resources. However, afforestation encroaching into protected areas along stream buffer zone is still quite common in forests managed by smallholders. Therefore, this indicator is considered a specified risk for smallholder.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

- Stakeholder Consultation
- Supplier Training: Water Resource Protection (Stream Buffer Zones).
- Field Verification: Inspect for the presence of streams and rivers, and confirm the retention of appropriately sized, unharvested buffer zones.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

1. By conducting on-site visits and consultation with the local authority and the forest protection dept (FPD) and direct interviews with farmers about quality and quantity of groundwater, surface water, and water downstream shall be maintained or enhanced, the auditor recognized that:
- The forest owner understands and follows the water management guidelines provided by local authorities, FPD
- Site surveys show that river corridors are protected.
2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country

Viet Nam

Area/Sub-Scope

Small forest owner

Indicator

2.2.11 The impacts of natural processes such as fires, pests and diseases shall be managed.

Specified risk description

Forest fire management has completed legal regulations and implementation mechanisms, but smallholders do not fully implement these regulations due to limitations in awareness, knowledge and techniques. Regarding pest management, although there are regulations and technical procedures, all forest owners yet to have not effectively implemented them.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure

- Supplier Training: Provide training to secondary suppliers on safe fire management and pest control techniques, requiring them to disseminate these guidelines to their roundwood suppliers.
- Field Verification: Conduct field assessments to verify safe burning practices, confirm the presence of firebreaks (where applicable), and inspect for evidence of severe pest outbreaks that indicate poor management.

Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions

1. On-site visit and stakeholder consultation with local communities and authorities to confirm that fires, pests and diseases at plantations are well managed by small forest owners with strong final support from local authority and BP.
2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
4.1.8 Training shall be provided for all workers to allow them to implement the conditions set out in all elements of the SBP Standards relevant to their responsibilities.
Specified risk description
Vietnamese law has policies and regulations on vocational training and education for small and medium-sized enterprises in all production sectors. Training facilities in the forestry sector are provided for university-level workers and workers nationwide. However, training and technical and occupational safety guidance for enterprise workers has yet to be fully implemented. For small forest owners, production activities are mainly based on practical experience without training. Therefore, this indicator is assessed as a specified risk.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Training of Direct Suppliers: The BP conducts annual training sessions for sawmill management and representatives covering SBP standard requirements, with a strong focus on technical guidelines and Occupational Health and Safety (OH&S). • Cascade Training Requirements: Through supplier agreements, the BP requires all supplying sawmills to conduct regular safety and technical training for their own enterprise workers. Furthermore, sawmills are required to act as an intermediary to disseminate these requirements to their roundwood suppliers.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. The auditor reviews training records at the company's office and interviews forest owners in the field, while also consulting with local authorities to confirm that relevant personnel have received adequate training on SBP standards. 2. Mitigation measures are assessed as sufficient to ensure that incoming feedstock is received at a low-risk level.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope

Small forest owner
Indicator
4.1.10 Safeguards shall be put in place to protect the health and safety of workers by developing, communicating and implementing policies and procedures.
Specified risk description
Vietnam has specific legal regulations on implementing protective measures that must be applied to protect the health and safety of workers. Statistics from the Ministry of Labor, War Invalids and Social Affairs also show that the number of occupational accidents in the forestry industry has been insignificant in the last three years. However, There has been no comprehensive assessment of the implementation of occupational safety and hygiene regulations in forestry, so this indicator is assessed as a specified risk for all subscopes.
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Supplier Training: Conduct training for sawmills on the proper use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) safe machinery handling, and emergency response • Field Monitoring and Verification: Periodically inspect sawmills to verify whether personal protective equipment (PPE) is provided and used, and conduct brief interviews with workers to assess their safety awareness.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. The auditor reviews procedures of CH and staff interviews on-site to confirm that the relevant staff clearly understand of it. 2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
4.2.4 Legal, customary, and traditional tenure and use rights of Indigenous Peoples and local communities related to the Supply Base shall be identified, documented, and respected.
Specified risk description
Regarding traditional customary ownership, the State always has a preferential policy for local communities and ethnic minorities in granting forest land use rights. The legal use rights according to traditional customs of local communities are also protected by the provisions of the Forestry Law and Circular 28/2018/TTBNNPTNT, Circular 13/2023/TT-BNNPTNT on sustainable forest management. Still, the identification of forests with significance in customs, practices and beliefs has only been well implemented by forest owners who are state organizations. For forest owners who are private organizations or small forest owners, the identification of forests with significance in customs, practices, and beliefs has yet to be fully implemented or has only stopped at the identification stage without solutions to ensure respect for traditional use rights. Thus, this indicator is assessed as low risk for state organizations and specified risk for private organizations and small forest owners.

Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Authentication of the Land Use Rights Certificate (LURC) or land allocation records of the forest owner • The company maintains a publicly accessible grievance mechanism (via website, hotlines, or local community channels) allowing local communities, ethnic minorities, or stakeholders to report any land disputes or violations of traditional rights related to the company's supply chain. • Field Verification and Stakeholder Consultation: Conduct on-site assessments and consult with relevant stakeholders to verify that smallholders' forestry activities respect traditional customary rights and that no unresolved land tenure disputes exist.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. Interviews small forest owners, local people on-site as well as local authorities, to confirm that no adverse impacts occurred. 2. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
4.2.5 Mechanisms shall be in place for resolving grievances and disputes relating to tenure and use rights of the forest and other land management practices.
Specified risk description
Although Vietnam has regulations related to the mechanism for resolving complaints and disputes over forest ownership and use rights, implementing those regulations and mechanisms is still inadequate and ineffective, so this indicator is considered a specified risk for all sub-scope groups
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
• Grievance Mechanism: The company establishes and maintains a documented, publicly accessible Grievance Mechanism (e.g., via company website, public hotlines, or email). This mechanism allows any stakeholder to raise complaints or disputes regarding land tenure, forest ownership, and use rights related to the BP’s supply base. All received grievances are recorded, investigated, and responded to systematically. • Field Verification and Stakeholder Consultation: Conduct on-site assessments and consult with relevant stakeholders to verify the absence of ongoing, unresolved tenure disputes in the harvesting areas supplying the sawmills.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. The auditor reviewed LURC and confirmed that tenure and use rights of the forest and other land management practices have been officially indicated in LURC 2. Interviewed farmers and local people on-site, as well as local authorities, to confirm that farmers in the region have granted LURC. 3. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.

Country
Viet Nam
Area/Sub-Scope
Small forest owner
Indicator
4.2.6 Where Indigenous Peoples' rights are identified in the Supply Base, and Free Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) has not been achieved for the proposed and planned activities, a consultation and, if required, accommodation process shall be put in place.
Specified risk description
Community and stakeholder consultations on forestry plans and planning have been carried out at the national level. However, at the implementation level, community and stakeholder consultations on forest management plans and exploitation plans of forest owners have only been thoughtfully implemented by forest owners who are state organizations. Forest owners who are private organizations and small forest owners often do not fully consult with the community when implementing sustainable forest management plans and do not notify the exploitation plan and forest product inventory to the Forest Protection Department. Therefore, this indicator is considered a defined risk for forest owners who are private organizations and small forest owners
Detailed description of a mitigation measure
Field Verification and Stakeholder Consultation: Conduct on-site assessments and consult with relevant stakeholders to verify that the harvesting activities of the supplying forest owners did not negatively impact local communities.
Detailed description of CB evaluation of mitigation measure and its conclusions
1. Interviews farmers, local people on-site as well as local authorities to confirm that farmers in the region have granted LURC. 2. FPIC has been in practice for the CH in any proposed and planned activities. 3. Mitigation found sufficient to ensure that the feedstock is received as low risk.