



Supply Base Report: **DIMSANIA, Joint limited liability company**

First Surveillance Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

Contents

1	Overview
2	Description of the Supply Base
2.1	General description
2.2	Description of countries included in the Supply Base
2.3	Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
2.4	Quantification of the Supply Base
3	Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation
4	Supply Base Evaluation
4.1	Scope
4.2	Justification
4.3	Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
4.4	Conclusion
5	Supply Base Evaluation process
6	Stakeholder consultation
6.1	Response to stakeholder comments
7	Mitigation measures
7.1	Mitigation measures
7.2	Monitoring and outcomes
8	Detailed findings for indicators
9	Review of report
9.1	Peer review
9.2	Public or additional reviews
10	Approval of report
Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators	

1 Overview

Producer name: DIMSANIA, Joint limited liability company

Producer address: office 17, 9 Stebeneva lane, 220024 Minsk, Belarus

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-07-88

Geographic position: 54.297056, 29.072417

Primary contact: Yuri Piskunovich, +375 29 676 21 98,dimsania1@gmail.com

Company website: N/A

Date report finalised: 30 Apr 2021

Close of last CB audit: 31 May 2021

Name of CB: NEPCon OÜ

SBP Standard(s) used: SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction, Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.4

Weblink to Standard(s) used: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: N/A

Weblink to SBR on Company website: <https://www.facebook.com/dimsania>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations					
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance	Re-assessment
☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Secondary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Belarus

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Belarus

Area/Region: All regions

Exclusions: No

All forests in Belarus are in exclusive property of the State. The total area of forest fund is 9.582 million ha of which 8.26 million ha are covered by forest lands. The percentage of forest cover in Belarus reached 39,8%. The total stock of timber is 1796 million m³, including ripe and overripe stands which comprise over 296 million m³.

As a result of conscious efforts on forests' reproduction, during the last 60 years the area covered by forest has doubled and reached its highest value for more than 100-year period. This increase is a result of both natural processes and afforestation of barren lands unsuitable for farming industry.

In Belarus along with increase of total area of forest lands, one could witness a sustainable growth of ripening, ripe and overripe stands. The share of ripe and overripe forests is 14,7%. Average age of stands is over 56 years.

In Belarus the main principles of forest managements are based on the following regulatory documents:

- State-run program for 2016-2020 "Belarus forest"
- National strategy on sustainable development of the Republic of Belarus
- Forest Code of the Republic of Belarus.

28 tree species and about 70 species of bushes grow in Belarus. The most widespread are: Scots pine - 50.3%, Birch - 23.2%, European spruce - 9.2%, Black alder - 8.5%, Oak - 3.4%, Aspen - 2.1%

Average annual timber harvesting value is about 18 million m³, which include:

- final felling (mature timber) – 40%
- cleaning cuttings and sanitary felling (young, middle-aged and ripening stands – 48%
- other cuttings – 12%.

The main conditions of forests' exploitation are the procurement of forest reproduction and protective afforestation. In 2018 the forest reproduction and afforestation were carried out at the total area of 41,82 thousand ha, including such measures as planting of new forests (about 34,8 thousand ha).

According to the forest legislation of the Republic of Belarus, the endangered species and the places of their habitation included in the Red List are to be protected during timber harvesting processes. The cutting of valuable, endangered and specially protected tree species is strictly prohibited.

There are two preserved areas at the territory of Republic of Belarus - Berezinsky Biosphere Reserve (85.2 thousand ha) and Polesie State Radioecological Reserve (216,1 thousand ha) and four national parks - Belovezhskaya Pushcha (152,962 thousand ha), Braslav Lakes (69,115 thousand ha), Narachanski National Park (93,3 thousand ha) and Pripyatsky National Park (85,841 thousand ha) as well as 334 forest Republic and local reserves and 874 monuments of nature.

The forest certification is an effective tool against illegal cuttings and illegal circulation of timber. There two schemes of forest certification implemented in the Republic of Belarus: FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) and PEFC (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification).

Source: Ministry of Forestry of Republic of Belarus

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

In August 2019 company management made a decision to use only FSC-certified feedstock for the production of pellets. When communicating with potential feedstock suppliers JLLC «Dimsania» underlines the importance of voluntary forest certification.

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. **Total Supply Base area (million ha):** 9,58
- b. **Tenure by type (million ha):**9.58 (Public)
- c. **Forest by type (million ha):**9.58 (Boreal)
- d. **Forest by management type (million ha):**9.58 (Managed natural)
- e. **Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**9.02 (FSC), 8.80 (PEFC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Mix of the above

Explanation: Dimsania is sourcing from a number of certified suppliers in Belarus which, in their turn, procure roundwood from state forestry enterprises (leshozes) which employ both clearcut and thinning.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

Explanation: N/A

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: N/A

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? Yes - Minority

Explanation: N/A

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Mar 2020

Reporting period to: 28 Feb 2021

- a. **Total volume of Feedstock:** 1-200,000 tonnes
- b. **Volume of primary feedstock:** 0 N/A
- c. **List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**
 - Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- d. **List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:** N/A
- e. **Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?** N/A
 - Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. **Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- g. **Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- h. **Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):** N/A
- i. **Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:** N/A

- j. Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%): N/A
- k. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest: N/A N/A
- l. List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:
- Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- m. Volume of secondary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes
- Physical form of the feedstock: Sawdust
- n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0 N/A
- Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period				
Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Primary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Secondary	0,00	100,00	0,00	0,00
Tertiary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Other	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: N/A

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: N/A

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used:
N/A

Specific risk description:

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

N/A

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

N/A

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

N/A

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

N/A

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

N/A

10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	Yury Piskunovich	Director	19 Apr 2021
	Name	Title	Date
The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.			
Report approved by:	Yury Piskunovich	Director	30 Apr 2021
	Name	Title	Date

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A